RP 465

Approved Copy

KFRI Research Report No.

KERALA FORESTRY STATISTICAL DATABASE, DATA MINING AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION

(Final Report of Project KFRI 571/09)

M. Sivaram
Department of Forest Statistics

Kerala Forest Research Institute
(An Institution of Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment)
Peechi – 680 653, Kerala, India

July 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to

Dr.Rajasekharan Pillai, Director-in-Charge, Kerala Forest Research Institute (KFRI) and Executive Vice President, Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment (KSCSTE) for his kind support and encouragement.

Dr.M.Balagopalan, Research Coordinator has always been very kind to provide his ideas and advices in all my projects.

Dr.V.V.Sudheendra Kumar, Scientist-F and Registrar, KFRI greatly helped to have all administrative facilities for the execution of the project.

Dr.K.V.Sankaran, Former Director, KFRI greatly encouraged me for the successful completion of the project.

Dr.P.Rugmini, Scientist-F and Programme Coordinator of Forest Management Information System (Retd.), KFRI, Dr.K.A.Mercy, Professor of Statistics, College of Veterinary & Animal Science, Mannuthy and Dr.M.P.Sujatha, Head, Soil Science Department, KFRI for their editorial comments and suggestions.

Mr.Vipin P.Chandran, Mr.Sujith K.Surendran and Mr.Anish Vijayan played a key role in designing and hosting the database in the internet.

Mrs.K.G.Nayana and Mrs.Rini George helped in the analysis of data.

Various Government Departments especially the Department of Kerala Forests and Wildlife for providing required data to develop the database.

ABSTRACT OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL

1. Project Number	: KFRI 571/09
2. Title of the Project	: KERALA FORESTRY STATISTICAL DATABASE, DATA MINING AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION
3. Objectives	: (1) To update and expand the existing database on Kerala forest resources up to the year 2010. (2) To undertake data mining and generate useful and comprehensible information with relevant data tables (3) To disseminate the information through a website for the benefit of stakeholders.
4. Date of commencement	: June 2009
5. Scheduled date of completion:	: November 2012
6. Funding agency	: KFRI Plan Grant
7. Investigator	: Dr. M. Sivaram, Scientist (Forest Statistics Dept.)

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

An integrated statistical database on various resources of forests at State level is very frequently sought for making management decisions, resource accounting, developing criteria and indicators for the sustainability assessment of forest resources, economic computations, making policy decisions and to evaluate forestry programmes. In this regard, an attempt has been made to update the existing desktop version of the database (developed through previous projects) to web based database for easy data retrieval and wider use. The website address is http://www.kftstat.com. The database covers spatio-temporal data on a number of thematic areas which include forest area, forest plantations, demand, production, consumption and prices of timber and non-timber forest products, biodiversity, wildlife, mangroves, sacred groves and forest degradation. The database was developed using tools such as Joomla 2.5, PHP 5 and MYSQL 5.0. The selected data available in the database was also subjected to in-depth analysis. The data on prices of teak logs was analyzed using hedonic regression analysis. In this, implicit economic values of physical attributes of teak logs (girth, length, straightness and soundness) were estimated using hedonic regression. The influence of spatial and temporal variations on teak wood prices was also estimated. The auction prices of teak wood at various Timber Sales Depots of the Forest Department of Kerala State in India during the period 2006-10 were used for the study. Traders ascribed over 80% of variation in teak wood prices to girth, straightness and soundness of logs. A reduction in age at felling of teak plantations in forest areas is proposed. The study also underlines the need to continue tree improvement programs and silvicultural operations for the production of high quality teak wood and thereby increase the profitability of teak plantations. The details of this exercise are available at http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11842-012-9233-z).

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, forests and forestry have attracted greater attention all over the world in view of their complex role in the environment amelioration besides the social and economic benefits they provide to the population. It is this fact that led to the development of sustainable forest management based on scientific principles and reliable data. There has been a wider use of forest statistics in resource accounting, making crucial management decisions, developing criteria and indicators for the assessment of sustainability, economic computations and making policy decisions and to evaluate various forestry programmes and policies.

There has been increasing demand for an integrated statistical database as the data on various aspects of forestry sector lie with different agencies such as State Forest Departments, Forest Survey of India and Central Statistical Organization. Besides providing information to stakeholders, such a database will be useful for data mining applications, decision support systems and forest sector analysis.

Earlier, in 2004 and 2008, a desktop version of the database was published (Sivaram, 2004 & 2008). The database was also found useful to carry out certain data mining applications. In this project, a web based online database has been developed for wider use. It is planned to update and expand the scope of the database on a continuous basis.

Objectives

- ✓ To update and expand the existing database on Kerala forest resources up to the year 2010.
- ✓ To undertake data mining and generate useful and comprehensible information with relevant data tables and diagrams for the development of State forestry sector.
- ✓ To disseminate the information through a website for the benefit of stakeholders.

2. KERALA FORESTRY STATISTICAL DATABASE (KFSTAT)

2.1 Database Development

The online database envisaged in this project is called as kfstat.com. This section describes the data sources, the tools used for the development of kfstat.com, various thematic areas of the database and guidelines to retrieve data and information.

2.2 Data Sources

The database was developed by collecting secondary data from several sources and by communicating with various agencies. The sources include Kerala Forest Department, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, Department of Economics and Statistics, Directorate of Census and the Kerala Forest Research Institute and the reports and articles published in journals.

2.3 Thematic Elements of the Database

This sub-section provides a list of various thematic areas covered and information and data tables available in the database.

I. A quick glance at Kerala forests

II. Land area and population

- i. Trends in land use pattern in Kerala, 1980-2008
- ii. Trends in population of Kerala and other States, 1901-2011
- iii. District-wise population of scheduled tribes as on 1999 & 2001
- iv. Literacy rate according to gender in rural and urban areas of Kerala, 2011
- v. District-wise population according to gender in rural and urban areas of Kerala, 2011

III. Policies

A. National policies

- i. National tribal policy, 2006 (draft)
- ii. National forest policies, 1892, 1952& 1988
- iii. National environment policy, 2006
- iv. National water policy, 2002
- v. National policy on disaster management
- vi. Bio-diesel purchase policy, 2005

vii. National policy on bio-fuels

viii. National electricity policy, 2005

ix. Rural electrification policy

x. Policy for setting up of mega power projects in private sector

xi. National policy for farmers, 2007

xii. National conservation strategy and policy Statement on environment and development

xiii. Policy Statement for abatement of pollution, 1992

B. State policies

i. State forest policy, 2007

ii. Kerala State organic farming policy

iii. Non-wood forest product management in Kerala, 2001

C. Acts

i. Central legislations

ii. State legislations

D. Rules

i. Central rules

ii. State rules

E. Working plan

i. National working plan code

ii. Working plans

IV. Forest administration

i. Forest check posts, 2009

ii. Forest timber depots, 2009

iii. Administrative units of Kerala forest departments, 2009

iv. Forest timber depots, 2009

v. Forest check posts, 2009

V. Forest economy

i. District-wise contribution of forestry and logging dector to gross State domestic product, 1999 – 2006

xiv. Current prices of teakwood in Kottayam timber sales division, 2001 – 2005
xv. Current prices of selected timbers at State level- Kerala ,1980-2005
xvi. Current prices of anjily, maruthu, mahagony etc at State level – Kerala,1956-2007
xvii. Current prices of rosewood at State level- Kerala, 1999-2006
xviii. Criteria for classification of teakwood and other timbers followed by the Kerala forest department
xix. Prices of notified forest produces at different centers
xx. Market prices of ayurvedic raw materials

XI. Forest produce: supply and demand

i. Sector-wise demand for teak wood in Kerala, 2000-01
ii. Sector-wise demand for timber and fuel wood in Kerala, 2000-01
iii. Supply of teak wood from different sources in Kerala, 2000-01
iv. Supply of wood from different sources in Kerala, 2000-01
v. Import of wood to Kerala from the neighbouring States and other countries, 2000-2001
vi. Export of timber from Kerala to other States of India and other countries, 2000-01
vii. Consumption pattern of timber and fuelwood in the service sector, 2000-01
viii. Consumption pattern of timber, fuelwood and charcoal in the industries sector, 2000-01
ix. Consumption pattern of timber and fuelwood in the household sector, 2001
x. Gap between demand and supply of timber and fuel wood in Kerala, 2001
xi. Supply and demand of ayurvedic products, 2004-2009

XII. Biodiversity

i. Extent of biosphere reserves in Kerala, 2009
ii. Extent of protected area in Kerala, 2009
iii. Different groups of wild fauna in the protected areas of Kerala
iv. Reptiles in Kerala
v. Lichens in Kerala
vi. Fishes in Kerala
vii. Birds in Kerala
viii. Bryophytes in Kerala
ix. Ambphibians in Kerala

2.4 Database Development Tool

The tools used for the web based database include Joomla 2.5, PHP 5 and MYSQL 5.0.

Why Choose Joomla?

Since Joomla is based on PHP (PHP: Hypertext Preprocessor) and MySQL, it is possible to build powerful application on an open platform anyone can use, share, and support.

Joomla is an award-winning content management system (CMS), which enables us to build web sites and powerful online applications. A content management system is a software that keeps track of every piece of content on website; much like a local public library keeps track of books and stores them. Content can be simple text, photos, music, video, documents. A major advantage of using a CMS is that it requires almost no technical skill or knowledge to manage.

Joomla has a really powerful administration area, where one can edit menus, add content, upload files, manage users, edit modules and many other things. It gives a total control over a site. Joomla ships with built-in support for Search Engine Friendly (SEF) URLs and content. One can specify Meta tags, SEO (Search Engine Optimization) friendly URLs and page descriptions to help search engines find their content.

2.5 How to use the kfstat.com website?

The KFSTAT website has three sections. On the top, a horizontal menu for the major operations like register, login etc. On the left, column panel shows a tree view listing the different elements of the site. The main boxes are Database Themes, Search, Reports/Articles, and Software. The Database Theme panel has 2 sub links (sub lists) – Abstract and Database Tables. While abstract provides an overview of each of the database themes. Tables show related data tables mostly in the Excel format. From the left listing panel you can access all the website information. Some databases, articles and reports are available only for the registered users. So, one has to register (now it's free of cost) for accessing those documents. The third section of the website is the main content area. All the contents and charts are displayed in this section. The website has glossary and abbreviations used to understand the data and a search facility.

Figure1: Screen shot of the webpage 'Forest Plantation – Abstract'



Figure2: Screen shot of the webpage 'Forest Plantation – Data Tables'

3. HEDONIC PRICE ANALYSIS OF TEAK LOGS

3.1 Introduction

In previous studies (Sivaram, 2004 and 2008), the projection of future availability of teak wood, analysis of past prices of teak logs (to assess the extent of impact of forest polices, globalization and economic recession) and forecasting of prices of teak logs using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model and Artificial Neural Network Models were carried out as part of data mining In this, the hedonic price analysis of teak logs was attempted as part of data mining exercise using the data available in the database. The details are as follows (also available at http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11842-012-9233-z).

Hedonic price analysis is useful to obtain the economic value of various characteristics of a good (Hulten, 2003). The hedonic technique estimates hedonic values which are defined as the implicit prices of traits and are revealed by observed prices of differentiated products and the specific amounts of traits associated with them (Lancaster, 1966; Rosen, 1974). There are two basic approaches to understand the characteristic price. Lancaster (1966) proposed a theory of consumer utility based on characteristics rather than on goods. Rosen (1974) related the hedonic function to the supply and demand for individual characteristics, that is, to the demand curves of consumers with heterogeneous tastes for the different combinations of characteristics in each variety, and to the corresponding supply functions for each characteristic. Further discussion on these two approaches is available in Triplett (1983), Epple (1987), Feenstra (1995) and Diewert (2003).

The relation between price of a particular good and its attributes can be expressed as

$$p = f(X)$$

where p is the price of the good and X is the good's vector of characteristics. The value of the partial derivative of the equation with respect to each of the element of the characteristic vector is the marginal implicit price of (or marginal willingness to pay for) a one unit change in the corresponding characteristic variable (Hanley and Spash 1993; Garrod and Wills 1999).

The rapid quality changes in the number of commodities such as automobiles and computers have led to the development of quality-adjusted consumer price indices using a hedonic price approach (Griliches, 1961; Berndt and Rappaport, 2001). Hedonic price equations were developed relating wine prices with the characteristics appearing on the label of the wine bottle and sensory and other characteristics of wine (Nerlove, 1995; Combris *et al.*, 1997). The hedonic price analysis was used to determine the influence of attributes and factors of prices of livestock including sheep and goats (Akinieye *et al.*, 2005) and indigenous chickens (Bett *et al.* 2011).

Alzamora and Apiolaza (2010) recognized that forest hedonic price models were mostly concerned with the impact value of environmental amenities. As far as the timber sector is concerned, hedonic studies are scarce. Brannman *et al.,* (1981) used hedonic methods to develop quality-adjusted price indices for Douglas-Fir timber. Bloomberg *et al.*, (2002) explained the regional differences in the price of radiata pine in New Zealand through log attributes using a hedonic price model. Alzamora and Apiolaza (2010) applied hedonic models to value wood attributes at the log and tree level for appearance-grade lumber of *Pinusradiata* in Chile.

Among all timbers, teak is considered to be a premium and high value timber worldwide due to its strength, durability, appearance, colour (mostly golden yellow or brown) and grain (Bhat, 1998; Thulasidas *et al.*, 2006). However, an empirical analysis on economic potential of traits such as girth, length, straightness and soundness of teak logs has not been attempted. The objective of this study was to estimate the implicit economic value of the characteristics of teak wood by estimating a hedonic price model.

3.2 Study site and data used

The study was conducted in the State of Kerala, a narrow strip of land located in the south-west corner of the Indian Peninsula between north latitude 8 ° 18` and 12° 48` and east longitude 74° 52` and 77° 22`. It encompasses an area of 38,863 km^2. The State has a forest area of 11,309 km^2, of which about 13% is plantation forest. Teak is the major species contributing about 53% to the total area under forest plantations (KFD, 2009). In India, forests are managed by the Forest Departments of the State Governments under the guidelines of the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India. The Kerala Forest Department is responsible for managing the forests including forest plantations in that State. The timber is felled only from forest plantations and homesteads, timber felling from natural forests being banned.

Timber felled from forest plantations is deposited for sale in 30 Sales Depots across 6 Forest Sales Divisions. The timber supplied to each forest depot is mostly from neighbouring forest plantations. The major species sold through sales depots is teak. Round timber of teak is traditionally classified into 60 classes based on mid-girth, length and straightness and soundness of logs (Table 1) (KFD, 2011). Though poles and billets were also graded, they are excluded here. Prior to sale, timber logs are arranged into lots based on quality attributes of the individual logs. A lot may contain one or more logs but the volume does not exceed 5 m^3. Sale is by both tender and auction. The sales are awarded to the highest bidder. Timber grading and sale are carried out by the Manager of the Timber Depot under the supervision of the Officer of the Timber Sales Division.

Table 1 Criteria for major classification of teak wood

Straightness and soundness		Girth		Length	
Grade	Criteria	Girth class	Middle girth(cm)[a]	Length class	Length(m)
A	Logs straight and sound without any defects	Export	185 and above	SL (Short log)	Above 1 and below 2.5
B	Fairly straight and sound logs	I	150 -184	LL (Lengthy log)	2.5 - 7.3
C	Defective and crooked logs	II	100 -149	LLL (Long lengthy log)	above 7.3
D	Highly defective and crooked logs	III	75 – 99		
		IV	60 – 74		

a. Middle log girth (under bark).

Price data were collected from sales depots by contacting them both in person and by mail at six monthly intervals, and were compiled for the analysis. The data were aggregated by auction date for each year during the period 2006 to 2010 for developing the hedonic model. The number of auctions covered during the period for the analysis was 421 which gave 4,518 data points over various quality attributes for a sale quantity of 60,811 m^3. The weighted average prices of teak[1] (Rs./m^3) were calculated considering quantity of timber

[1]Indian rupees per cubic metre.Rs.44.70 = $US 1, as at January 2, 2006

sold as weights. The annual volatility of teak prices was expressed as the percentage of standard deviation to average current teak wood prices.

3.3 Development of hedonic price model

Characteristic variables of teak wood

Teak is priced high due to its number of physical and wood quality attributes. The physical attributes include girth, length, straightness and soundness of logs. The wood properties include strength, appearance, colour, texture, grain, durability and aesthetic value. Traders also associate value with the age of the teak and location where it was grown because of quality differences. Because teak sold in government timber depots is usually felled at the age of 55-60 years, age was not considered while grading the timber. The differences in colour and grain were also not considered while grading. Though more distinct classification was possible with respect to physical properties of teak wood based on the quantification of attributes such as number of bends, holes, cracks, knots, buttresses and splits, as per Indian Standard Grading Rules for Teak logs (ISI, 1969), these properties were not differentiated. The grading rules followed by the timber depots were as per the guidelines of the Kerala Forests and Wildlife Department (KFD, 2011) based on girth, length and straightness and soundness of logs (Table 1). The straightness and soundness of logs was assessed by visual inspection of attributes without actually being quantified. In this study, five variables were considered for developing the hedonic model.

The coding scheme followed for the purpose of analysis is:

i) Girth (mid-girth of logs, under bark), coded as 1 to 5 for the girth class IV to Export,

ii) Straightness and soundness, coded as 1 to 4 for the class D to A,

iii) Length, coded as 1 to 3 for length class SL to LLL,

iv) Timber Sales Division, as a dummy variable to consider spatial variation. Its value is 1 if the particular Timber Sales Division is present else its value is 0 (5 dummy variables were created for 6 Timber Sales Divisions studied).

v) Quarter, as a dummy variable to take into account seasonal (quarter to quarter) variation within a calendar year. Its value is 1 for all the observations in the particular quarter else its value is 0 (3 dummy variables were created for 4 quarters)

Selection of the best functional form of hedonic model

Economic theory places few restrictions on the form of the hedonic price function. In developing hedonic regression solutions, four functional forms have been employed in the past, *viz*. simple linear, exponential, power function or double log, and logarithmic models (Brachinger, 2002). All the non-linear functional forms were converted into linear form and solutions were obtained using the ordinary least squares multiple regression analysis procedure in SPSS 14.0 software.

Researchers mostly use goodness of fit criterion to choose the appropriate form of the hedonic function (Cropper *et al*., 1988). In this study, the data were subjected to regression analysis of the above functional forms and the best functional form was chosen based on adjusted R^2 value and its significance was tested by F-test. The simple linear model was found to have the highest R^2 value (80 to 85%) for all the years. The following is the functional form of the hedonic regression equation.

$$Price = Constant + b_1\, Straightness\ and\ soundness + b_2\, Girth + b_3\, Length + b_4C_1 + b_5C_2 + b_6C_3 + b_7\, D_1 + b_8\, D_2 + b_9\, D_3 + b_{10}\, D_4 + b_{11}\, D_5 + Error$$

where the b_is (i=1,2,…8) are regression coefficients (partial derivatives). C_1 to C_3 are the dummy variables for quarter. C_1-fourth quarter; C_2-third quarter; C_3-second quarter.D_1 to D_5 are the dummy variables for Timber Sales Division (spatial variable).D_1 -Palakkad; D_2 -Kottayam; D_3 -Perumbavoor; D_4-Thiruvananthapuram; D_5 -Kozhikode.

With regard to spatial effect in the above equation, non-significant dummy variables representing the Timber Sales Divisions (P>0.10) were excluded from the regression equation.

Outliers of teak prices

The variation in teak prices is usually high. Sometimes, there are extreme observations or outliers that exist in price data. The data with large residuals (outliers) and high leverage may distort the outcome and accuracy of estimates of regression parameters. Cook's distance (D_i) is commonly used to estimate the influence of a data point when carrying out least squares regression analysis (Montgomery and Peck, 1982). It measures the effect of deleting an individual observation. The data points with a large Cook's distance are considered to merit closer examination in the analysis. The Cook's statistic is

$$D_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\hat{Y}j - \hat{Y}j(i)\right)^2}{q\, MSE}$$

The following is an algebraically equivalent expression

$$D_i = \frac{e_i^2}{q\,MSE}\left[\frac{h_{ii}}{\left(1-h_{ii}\right)^2}\right]$$

In the above equations:

$\hat{Y}_j$ is the predicted teak price value from the full regression model for observation j;
$\hat{Y}_{j(i)}$ is the predicted teak price value for observation j from a refitted hedonic regression model in which observation i has been omitted;
h_{ii} is the i^{th} diagonal element of the hat matrix $X\left(X^T X\right)^{-1} X^T$ (where X is the matrix of values of the good's vector of characteristics);
$e_i$is the residual (i.e. the difference between the observed and predicted teak prices);
MSE is the mean square error of the regression model; and
q is the number of fitted parameters in the regression model.

In order to calculate the Cook's distances, least square regression analysis was run with teak prices as dependent variable and girth, length and straightness and soundness of logs as independent variables. The observations for which

$$D_i \geq \frac{4}{n-(q+1)}$$

were considered as outliers and omitted for the analysis. The percentage of outliers to the total observations ranged from 5.7 in 2006 to 8.0 in 2007.

Model diagnostics

Following Montgomery and Peck (1982), a number of diagnostic procedures were applied to satisfy the assumptions of least square regression analysis. The data without extreme observations satisfied the assumption of normality as examined through normal probability plot of standardized residuals.There was no evidence of muticollinearity (correlation among the attribute variables) as determined by the value of the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF<5.0). The presence of heteroscedasticity (heterogeneity of variances at different levels of attribute variables) was examined by a scatter plot of standardized residuals against standardized predictive values and its significance was tested by White's test and the Breusch-Pagen test. Although there existed slight heteroscedasticity ($p<0.05$), an attempt to overcome this by developing alternative solutions did not yield a higher adjusted R^2. In this study, the price data of teak wood is unbalanced for quantity sold at various combinations of predictors. In such situation, weighted regression analysis is usually attempted. Since no quantity was sold or very few observations were available in many combinations of teak wood classes, weighted regression analysis would not have been meaningful. In particular, regression analysis with weights including quantity sold and relative quantity sold, and with weights inversely proportional to variance at each combination of predictor variables, did not yield satisfactory results in hedonic price analysis.

3.4 Results

About 90% of the teak sold belonged to six major classes (Table 2). The average price varied widely (Table 3). The median percentage volatility varied from 14 in 2010 to 19 in 2007 and 2009. However, the percentage volatility was higher in straightness and soundness class *D* across all the girth and length classes. The increase in average current prices of teak logs from 2006 to 2007 was about 32%. This was due to short supply of teak wood from forest plantations coupled with high timber demand from the unprecedented growth in house construction and furniture making. Only a marginal increase was seen from 2008 onwards, perhaps due to the impact of the global financial crisis.

Table 2 Quantity sold across major classes of teak wood (m^3)[a]

Girth	Straightness and soundness	Length	Year				
			2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
II	B	LL	2090.33 (54)	1443.7 (50)	3005.36 (89)	2174.12 (88)	2799.69 (98)
II	C	LL	1724.39 (64)	1146.3 (53)	1471.92 (90)	1589.33 (88)	2911.04 (111)
III	B	LL	3010.57 (54)	1751.98 (46)	2736.25 (87)	2681.47 (87)	2733.15 (102)
III	C	LL	2403.53 (67)	1450.97 (51)	2311.5 (91)	2543.86 (87)	2991.17 (105)
IV	B	LL	1342.12 (44)	753.01 (44)	974.94 (69)	1106.21 (83)	681.94 (86)
IV	C	LL	1503.43 (62)	927.02 (51)	1386.16 (84)	1405.22 (84)	1145.78 (97)
Total			13213.76 (748)	8043.03(610)	12749.84 (1009)	12345.55(1010)	14458.85 (1141)

a. Numbers in parenthesis are numbers of auctions covered.

Table 3 Average price and percentage volatility of major classes of teak wood prices (Rs/m^3)[a]

Girth	Straightness and soundness	Length	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
II	B	LL	51679 (14)	73412 (17)	79086 (18)	78070 (13)	79044 (13)
II	C	LL	35435 (19)	47469 (25)	51965 (22)	54551 (22)	56056 (16)
III	B	LL	36614 (12)	52333 (17)	51115 (22)	54733 (12)	55978 (17)
III	C	LL	26467 (16)	33179 (18)	32590 (21)	36761 (19)	39399 (15)
IV	B	LL	27005 (13)	33576 (16)	34716 (19)	36672 (18)	41145 (14)
IV	C	LL	18983 (17)	24154 (19)	23215 (23)	26601 (21)	30153 (17)

a. Figures in the parenthesis indicate percentage volatility

Hedonic price model

Table 4 shows the results of the hedonic regression analysis. The coefficient of determination (R^2) is 80% and above, meaning that the model developed explained over 80% of the variation in teak wood prices. The standard regression coefficients and the estimated percentage of variation in teak wood prices explained by each of the independent variables reveal that girth is the most important attribute, explaining 42% of the variation in 2007 to 54% in 2010 (Figure 1). This was followed by straightness and soundness of logs which explained 25 to 31%. The length of teak logs is estimated to explain about 4% of the variation in teak prices. The spatial and inter-quarter effects are significant only in some years and contributed only about 2% to the variation in teak wood prices.

The hedonic regression analysis reveals that the economic value (average rate of change in teak prices) that can be attributed to a change in the level of straightness and soundness (say from D to C or C to B or B to A) was Rs.10, 663/m^3 in 2006, rising to 19,662/m^3 in 2008. Similarly, the economic value attributed to a change in the level of grading based on girth was Rs.10,315/m^3 in 2006, rising to Rs.18,535/m^3 in 2008. The economic value of length characteristic was only about Rs.5,863 /m^3 in 2006, increasing to Rs.9,530/m^3 in 2008. The analysis indicates that the teak price increased significantly over the quarters in 2006 and 2009. The coefficients of the dummy regressors indicated that the Palakkad and Kottayam Timber Sales Divisions fetched higher prices than the other Timber Sales Divisions in the years 2008 and 2010.



Fig.1 Percentage variance explained in prices of teak wood by various quality characteristics

3.5 Discussion

The hedonic price analysis reveals that the timber valuation by traders is mainly based on girth, straightness and soundness of logs. The economic value of attributes appears to vary between years depending on the demand and supply levels of the characteristics of teak wood. The hedonic analysis could not be performed for home-garden teak wood because only small quantities were traded through middlemen and reliable price data were difficult to collect.

Teak from Palakkad Timber Sales Division fetched higher prices than that from other Divisions because most of the timber arrived for auction at the Timber Depots of this Division were from Nilambur forest areas, which is known for its high quality teak wood (Balasundaran, 2010). In order to estimate the economic values of attributes such as grain,

Table 4 Parameter estimates of the various attributes of teak wood included in the hedonic regression model

Attribute	Parameter estimates	Year				
		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Constant	$\hat{b}_0$	-27698.69***	-45910.87***	-62481.06***	-45481.71***	-46357.84***
	SE ($\hat{b}_0$)	1452.60	2439.82	2307.41	1664.88	1942.61
Straightness and soundness	$\hat{b}_1$	10663.72***	17372.65***	19661.72***	16341.02***	18357.43***
	SE ($\hat{b}_1$)	375.29	703.89	577.42	450.01	423.77
	$\hat{\beta}_1$	0.46	0.49	0.47	0.48	0.51
Girth	$\hat{b}_2$	10315.48***	15109.87***	18534.82***	17131.60***	16672.26***
	SE ($\hat{b}_2$)	233.32	433.75	358.86	310.42	264.40
	$\hat{\beta}_2$	0.69	0.65	0.69	0.70	0.74
Length	$\hat{b}_3$	5863.47***	8807.52***	9530.05***	8648.01***	8702.86***
	SE ($\hat{b}_3$)	422.13	794.75	660.54	547.38	584.90
	$\hat{\beta}_3$	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.18
C_1	$\hat{b}_4$	1428.04*	358.73	472.30	1245.47	-3955.88***
	SE ($\hat{b}_4$)	719.40	1243.75	1122.42	859.60	1017.99
	$\hat{\beta}_4$	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.07
C_2	$\hat{b}_5$	2750.35***	1864.93	385.36	3831.68***	-1879.27
	SE ($\hat{b}_5$)	735.99	1305.62	1082.44	843.65	1029.02
	$\hat{\beta}_5$	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.07	-0.03
C_3	$\hat{b}_6$	3712.91***	2329.61	-1058.90	5020.22***	-1118.41
	SE ($\hat{b}_6$)	801.69	1447.92	1084.52	953.04	1054.11
	$\hat{\beta}_6$	0.09	0.04	-0.02	0.08	-0.02
D_1	$\hat{b}_7$	*NS*	*NS*	5864.08***	-943.84	3126.80*
	SE ($\hat{b}_7$)	*NS*	*NS*	1122.04	828.47	1304.93
	$\hat{\beta}_7$	*NS*	*NS*	0.10	-0.02	0.05

D_2	$\hat{b}_8$	716.88	-4695.00	4933.72	*NS*	4359.37***
	SE ($\hat{b}_8$)	826.34	2433.39	1466.11	*NS*	947.90
	$\hat{\beta}_8$	0.01	-0.04	0.05	*NS*	0.07
D_3	$\hat{b}_9$	-3712.45***	-3650.33***	-832.03	-5444.35***	-2223.43*
	SE ($\hat{b}_9$)	700.92	1092.36	1221.59	954.52	946.46
	$\hat{\beta}_9$	-0.10	-0.07	-0.01	-0.08	-0.04
D_4	$\hat{b}_{10}$	-357.47	-1855.57	1644.42	-1887.64	-1464.19
	SE ($\hat{b}_{10}$)	1835.72	2861.74	2886.55	2207.07	1022.03
	$\hat{\beta}_{10}$	0.00	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	-0.02
D_5	$\hat{b}_{11}$	-2665.80***	-7151.26***	-112.82	-3894.09***	1670.61
	SE ($\hat{b}_{11}$)	637.55	1213.13	1270.76	851.87	962.82
	$\hat{\beta}_{11}$	-0.08	-0.13	0.00	-0.07	0.03
Goodness of fit statistics	Adjusted R^2	0.82	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.85

*$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$; ***$p<0.001$

$\hat{\beta}$ s are estimates of standardized regression coefficients

NS means attributes having probability of *F*-value greater than 0.10 (do not contribute to the model's explanatory power) and excluded from the regression equation.

colour and appearance and to relate with variation in supply and demand of teak wood it is necessary to undertake studies covering teak wood supply from forest plantations, home gardens, farm land and imports, and demand for various end uses. The studies should cover both organized and unorganized timber markets across various States.

3.6 Conclusion

Teak can be expected to be in great demand for its typical attributes. The study has estimated huge economic values associated with girth, straightness and soundness of logs to about 80% of the variation in teak wood prices. The maximum annual increment (MAI) in volume of teak in forest plantations, mostly determined by girth, is stabilized at a plantation age of about 45 years (FRI, 1970). The MAI and the economic potential of the logs suggest that it is possible to bring down the felling age at about 45 years from the present practice of 55-60 years for earlier economic benefits. The growth models developed by Jayaraman and Rugmini (2008) and studies by Palanisamy *et al.*, (2010) also indicate that the felling age of teak could be brought down to below 50 years.

The study suggests that tree breeders should consider size and straightness and soundness of logs as vital selection indices to define breeding objectives. The plantation managers should give greater emphasis on site selection, quality planting material, and timely silvicultural practices (thinning, weeding) to produce high quality teak wood. Though the best teak clones are developed their field evaluation has been lacking for large-scale planting. Teak growers across farms and home gardens may also be made aware of the economic values of teak attributes. Such activities would make teak growing a more attractive business enterprise given the short supply of teak wood.

3.7 Policy implications

The Forest Policy of the Indian States underlines the need to promote productivity of forest plantations to meet the timber needs of future generations. The quality of teak plantations has been declining over time, especially those which have been raised through successive rotations (FAO, 2001). Therefore, an explicit Statement on developing high quality plantations in policy documents would increase quality consciousness among foresters and tree growers. The promotion of superior teak provenances and clones can be emphasized in this regard.

In India, the forest plantations are mostly managed under the working plans of the various Forest Divisions prepared at the interval of every 10 years. The plans are prepared following traditional age-old guidelines. Based on the latest findings, there could be changes in the age at felling of teak plantations on an experimental basis and expanded later based on an economic evaluation.

References

Akinleye S O, Olubanjo O O, Adenrele O O (2005) Hedonic price analysis of sheep and goat market in Lagos State, Nigeria.*African Journal of Livestock Extension* 4: 15-19

Alzamora R M, Apiolaza LA (2010) A hedonic approach to value *Pinusradiata* log traits for appearance- grade timber production. *Forest Science* 56(3):281-289

Balasundaran M (2010) Nilambur teak suggested for geographic indication registration. *Teaknet Bulletin* 3(2):5*http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/al392e/al392e00.pdf*. Accessed 22 October 2012

Berndt E, Rappaport N (2001) Price and quality of desktop and mobile personal computers: A quarter century historical overview. *American Economic Review* 91(2): 268-73

Bett H K, Peters KJ, Bokelmann W (2011) Hedonic price analysis to guide in breeding and production of Indigenous chicken in Kenya. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 23: Article #142*http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd23/6/bett23142.htm*. Accessed 10 May 2012

Bhat K M (1998) Properties of fast-grown teakwood: Impact on end-user's requirements. *Journal of Tropical Forest Products* 4(1): 1-10

Bloomberg M, Bigsby H, Sedcole R (2002) Regional variation in pine sawlog prices in New Zealand. NZ *Journal of Forestry* 47(1): 25-27

Brachinger HW (2002) *Statistical theory of hedonic price indices*. Seminar of Statistics, University of Fribourg, Av. de Beauregard 13, CH-1700 Fribourg.*unifr.ch/dqe/papers/files/wp0001.pdf*. Accessed 10 May 2012

Brannman L, Buongiorno J, Fight R (1981) Quality adjusted price indices for Douglas-Fir timber. Western.*Journal of Agricultural Economics* 6(02). *econpapers.repec.org/RAS/pbr45.htm*. Accessed 10 May 2012

Combris P, Lecocq S, Visser M (1997) Estimation of a hedonic price equation for Boedeaux wine: Does quality matter?. *The Economic Journal* 107(441): 390-402

Cropper ML, Deck LB, McConnell KE (1988) On the choice of functional form for hedonic price functions. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 70(4): 668-675

Diewert E (2003) Hedonic regressions: A consumer theory approach in RC Feenstra and MD Shapiro (ed). *Scanner Data and Price Indexes*.National Bureau of Economic Research, University of Chicago Press, ISBN: 0-226-23965-9. *http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9740.pdf*.Accessed 10 May 2012

Epple D (1987) Hedonic prices and implicit markets: Estimating demand and supply functions for differentiated products. *Journal of Political Economy* 95(1): 59-80

FAO (2001) *Biological sustainability of productivity in successive rotations* .Forest Plantations Thematic Paper.Working Paper FP/2. (http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/004/AC122E/ac122e04.htm) Accessed 14 May 2012

Feenstra R (1995) Exact hedonic price indexes. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 77(4): 634-53

Forest Research Institute and Colleges (FRI) (1970) *Growth and yield statistics of Indian timber species (Plain region)* Volume II. Directorate of Forest Education, Dehra Dun, India

Garrod G, Wills K G (1999) *Economic valuation of the environment: Methods and case studies*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham

GrilichesZ (1961) *Hedonic price indexes for automobiles: An econometric analysis of quality change.* The Price Statistics of the Federal Government General Series No. 73:137-96. Columbia University and National Bureau of Economic Research, New York

Hanley N, Spash CL (1993) *Cost-benefit analysis and the environment*. Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd, Cheltenham

Hulten C R (2003) Price hedonics: A critical review. *Economic and Political Review* 5-15 www.app.ny.frb.org/research/epr/03v09n3/o309hult.pdf. Accessed 10 May 2012

Indian Standard Institution (ISI) (1969) *Indian Standard Grading Rules for Teak Logs*, ISI, New Delhi

Jayaraman K, Rugmini P (2008) Optimizing management of even-aged teak stands using growth simulation model: A case study in Kerala. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 20(1):19-28

Kerala Forests and Wildlife Department (KFD) (2009) *Forest Statistics 2009*.KFD, Thiruvananthapuram, India

Kerala Forests and Wildlife Department (KFD) (2011) *Forest Guide-2011*. Forestry Information Bureau (KFD), Thiruvananthapuram, India

Lancaster K J (1966) A new approach to consumer theory. *The Journal of Political Economy* 74(2): 132-157

Montgomery C C, Peck E A (1982) *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis*. Wiley, New York

Nerlove M (1995) Hedonic price functions and measurement of preferences: The case of Swedish wineconsumers. *European Economic Review* 39(9): 1697-1716

Palanisamy K, Krishnamoorthy M, Gireesan K, Rajasekar S (2010) Recent trends in teak improvement in India. *Teaknet Bulletin* 3(2): 2
http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/al394e/al394e00.pdf. Accessed 24 October 2012

Rosen S (1974) Hedonic prices and implicit markets: Product differentiation in pure competition. *The Journal of Political Economy* 82(1): 34-55

Sivaram M (2004) *A Database on Forest Resources of Kerala.* KFRI Research Report No.255. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi. 66p.

Sivaram M (2008) *Computerized database on Kerala forest resources and data retrieval system*. KFRI Research Report No.320. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi. 46p.

Thulasidas P.K, Bhat K M, Okuyama T (2006) Heartwood colour variation in home garden teak *(Tectonagrandis)* from wet and dry localities of Kerala. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 18(1): 557-565

Triplett J (1983) Concepts of quality in input and output price measures: A resolution of the user value-resource cost debate. MF Foss ed. *The U.S. National Income and Product Accounts: Selected Topics. Studies in Income and Wealth* 47: 296-311. University of Chicago Press and National Bureau of Economic Research, Chicago.