

Assessing vulnerability and climate change impact on the vegetation structure and composition in wet evergreen and shola forests of Kerala part of the Western Ghats

U. M. Chandrashekhara



Kerala Forest Research Institute
(An Institution of Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment)
Peechi-680653, Thrissur, Kerala

July 2004

K F R I

ABSTRACT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL

Code	KFRI 380/02
Title	Assessing vulnerability and climate change impact on the vegetation structure and composition in wet evergreen and shola forests of Kerala part of the Western Ghats
Objectives	To assess vulnerability and climate change impacts on the vegetation structure and composition in three forest types namely the low elevation evergreen forests, mid elevation evergreen forests and shola forests.
Date of commencement	April 2002
Date of completion	March 2003
Funding agency	Winrock International India, New Delhi
Scientific personnel	U. M. Chandrashekara

Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	4
2. Study areas and methods	5
2.1 Study areas and climate	5
2.2 Vegetation analysis	6
3. Results	8
3.1 Lower elevation forests	8
3.2 Medium elevation forests	16
3.3 Shola forests	23
4. Discussion and conclusions	31
5. References	35
6. Acknowledgements	37

Executive summary

The Western Ghats located in the Indian sub-continent, is one of the biologically and biogeographically richest hill ranges in the world and is considered as a mega-centre of biodiversity. Like in other regions of the world, here also it is predicted that the global climate change, particularly in the form of increasing atmospheric temperature and rainfall, and rising sea level, would alter the forest structure and floristic composition. In the present study, attempts were made to predict the possible impact of climate change in three forest types, namely, the low elevation evergreen forests, mid elevation evergreen forests and shola forests. For each forest type, plots were selected along the altitudinal gradients and comparative analyses were made for species diversity and phytosociological features.

A comparative study was carried out in a swamp forest (altitude 76 m above mean sea level) and in a forest patch located at an altitude 372 m above mean sea level. In both the plots, tree species such as, *Knema attenuata*, *Myristica dactyloides* and *Polyalthia fragrans* are dominant. However, contribution by these species to the total Importance Value Index (IVI) is relatively less in the higher altitude forest patch. In addition, these species show certain morphological features such as, stilt roots and breathing roots only when they are growing in the swampy areas. In higher altitude forest patch, comparatively high species diversity is recorded and this can be attributed to two factors, namely, the low dominance of Myristicaceous species and partitioning of resources by species characteristic to a wide range of elevation. It is clear from this study that the *Myristica* swamps represent a distinct plant association in lowlands of Kerala. The climate change in India, which is expected to increase the water table in the low-lying areas, may not directly alter habitats and the vegetation structure and composition in *myristica* swamps located here. However, the loss of coastal land due to inundation and intrusion of salinity as a consequence of climate change may lead to the encroachment of *Myristica* swamps and their conversion for agriculture, construction, industrial uses etc.,

Possible impact of climate change in mid elevation forests and high elevation forests (shola forests) is in the form of increase in atmospheric temperature and rainfall. It is also expected that the temperature in a forest patch located at a given altitude could rise to the level of temperature being experienced in the lower altitude forests. In this context, in a given forest type, comparison of vegetation structure and composition of forest patches located in the relatively higher altitude with those in the lower altitude was made, to provide an indication of possible species composition and other phytosociological features in the higher altitude forest patches. Study indicated that in the relatively undisturbed forest patches, located in an altitudinal gradient which were selected to represent the medium elevation evergreen forest type, *Palaquium ellipticum*, *Mesua ferrea* and *Cullenia exarillata* remained as the dominant tree species. Thus,

climate change may not alter the vegetation to an extent where the vegetation alters drastically. However, number of species, density and values obtained for species diversity index, both in tree and seedling communities are more in high altitude forests. Therefore, possible impact of climate change on relatively high altitude forests could be the reduction in species diversity and density of tree and seedling communities. A clear increasing trend in density, basal area and contribution to the total species importance index values by *Mesua ferrea* and the family Lauraceae with increase in elevation was noticed. Thus, it is also possible to predict that due to climate change, in higher elevation forest patches, current dominance of *Mesua ferrea* and Lauraceae may be reduced.

Shola forest patches along the altitudinal gradient are different from each other in terms of dominant species in their tree communities. In this forest type, as the elevation increases, the dominance of *Cinnamomum perrottetii* and *Microtropis ramiflora* increased respectively in tree and seedling communities. In addition, the species composition in higher altitude forests are significantly different from the plots located in lower elevation shola forests as indicated by the similarity index values obtained for tree and seedling communities. Thus it can be predicted that, due to climate change, in the higher altitude shola forests, the dominance of *Cinnamomum perrottetii* and *Microtropis ramiflora* may be reduced and species composition may be changed into that being seen in lower altitude sholas. Currently, in higher altitude shola forest patches, density of tree and seedlings are more than those in lower altitude shola forest patches. Even the height of the trees is also relatively less. Thus, it can also be predicted that due to climate change, density of trees and seedling and height of the trees could increase in the higher elevation sholas.

In some studies related to forest disturbance and regeneration, it is hypothesized that the impact of climate change on forest microclimate and vegetation structure and composition would be similar to that of disturbance in the forest. Thus it is also mentioned that the studies on floristic diversity, vegetation structure, composition and regeneration patterns in the disturbed forests in a given forest type would help to predict the impact of climate change on these parameters. In this context, in the present study, in each forest type, a disturbed forest and a relatively undisturbed forest patch located in an almost identical altitude, were compared for their vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree communities. Study indicated that in each of the three forest types, disturbed and undisturbed forest patches are similar in terms of the occurrence of same set of dominant species of the given forest type. However, in each of the three forest types, unlike in the undisturbed forest patch, in the disturbed forest patch a set of deciduous or light demanding tree species contributed considerably to the total IVI of tree community. A significant difference in terms of species composition is also observed between undisturbed forest and disturbed forest situated in a given altitude when they were independently compared with the

undisturbed forest situated in a relatively lower altitude. Based on all these observations, it is possible to conclude that in the relatively undisturbed forest patch located at higher altitude in a given forest type, the climate change probably offer competitive advantages over the other species for some species typical to the relatively undisturbed forests. On the other hand, human disturbance alters the vegetation structure and composition of tree community in such a way that light demanding or deciduous tree species, which are generally absent or poorly represented in a relatively undisturbed forests, become dominant. Thus it is suggested that monitoring and detection of vegetation responses to climate changes should be done by carefully selecting the plots which do not show any sign of other kinds of disturbance.

1. Introduction

Global climatic change is a normal process on a geological time scale. However, increasing emission of greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide, which trap heat, are causing global temperature to rise. This process called global warming, triggers off a series of climatic changes, resulting in extreme events such as droughts, floods and cyclones. According to Hulme and Viner (1998), the southern peninsular India would experience a relatively moderate increase of 2.0-2.5 °C in winter, 3.0-3.5 °C during early summer and 0.5-1.0 °C during the summer monsoon season. It is also projected that in this part of India, rainfall in Southwest Monsoon will generally increase by about 10-30% while the Northeast Monsoon will increase by 50-70%. In addition, the dry season length is also generally expected to increase, whereas soil moisture is projected to increase by 15-25%. It is also predicted that by the year 2100, atmospheric temperature in India may be 1.4 to 5.8 °C higher than the present day temperature. Similarly, the sea level may be 9 to 88 cm higher than the present level (IPCC, 2001; Shukla *et al.*, 2002). Attempts have been made to understand the impact of climate change on climate-sensitive systems such as agriculture (Mitra, 1992; Sinha, 1997), forestry (Sukumar *et al.*, 1995; Achanta and Kanetkar, 1996; Deshingkar *et al.*, 1997) and some other natural resources (Asthana, 1993; Bhatt and Sharma, 2002).

It may be pointed out here that within the tropical region, the primary difference in temperature is related to elevation, with an average mean annual temperature reduction of 5-6 °C per 1,000 m increase in elevation (NRC, 1982). According to Pascal (1988), in the Western Ghats of India, the major gradient in temperature is linked to altitude and the annual mean temperature reduction of 0.8 to 0.9 °C per 100 m increase in elevation could be seen. Thus, for analysing the potential impact of climate change on vegetation structure and composition, studies on vegetation along the transect are useful. This is because the current climatic conditions in the relatively higher altitude locations are expected to alter in future in such a way that this area would experience the climatic conditions of the present low elevation locations. Thus, due to climatic change, the present day vegetation structure and composition in the lower elevation localities would be seen in future in higher elevation localities. With this background in mind, several studies have been carried out to predict the future vegetation structure, composition or response of different organisms for the possible climatic changes. For instance, Ravindranath and Sukumar (1998) predicted the change of forest type boundaries along altitudinal and rainfall gradient, with species migrating from lower to higher altitudes and the drier forest types being transformed to moister types. Considering the usefulness to predict the impact of climate change on the vegetation structure and composition in different types of forests, the present study was conducted in the lowland and midland evergreen forests and shola forests in the Kerala part of

the Western Ghats in India. The overall objective of the study was to assess vulnerability and climate change impacts on the vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree communities in shola and evergreen forests of Kerala.

2. Study areas and Methods

2.1 Study areas and climate

The Kerala part of the Western Ghats covers an area of 7720 km² under forests with 45.6% of the forest area under evergreen, semi-evergreen and shola forest types. While the moist deciduous forests cover about 53.11% of total forest area in the State, the remaining 1.3% are represented by dry deciduous forest type (Nair, 1997). Pascal (1998) broadly categorized the evergreen forests into three groups, namely, a) Plains and low elevation types, b) Medium elevation types, and c) High altitude types. While the evergreen types of the plains and low elevations are confined to a narrow belt from the foot of the Ghats up to 800 m amsl, medium elevation evergreen forests represent those evergreen forests at 800 m to 1750 m amsl. Shola forests, which are seen between 1800 and 2700 m amsl, represent high altitude forests (Blasco, 1971; Nair *et al.*, 2001). However, in a given west-east gradient, relatively undisturbed forest plots are not available in all altitudinal gradients. Thus in the present study, the vegetation structure and composition in three evergreen forest types (low elevation type, medium elevation type and high altitude type) were analysed separately. In each forest type, forest plots at different altitudinal gradients were selected. Details of the plots are given in Table 1.

Since the forest plots in a given forest type are located within 10 km radius and no reliable weather stations are present near each plot, weather data were collected for each forest type from nearby reliable weather station.

In the low elevation evergreen forests, mean annual rainfall recorded is 2600 mm with maximum rainfall in July (1000 mm) and minimum in March (25 mm). Annual mean maximum temperature is 37.3 °C and the annual mean minimum temperature is 17.0 °C. May is the hottest month with mean maximum temperature of 34.6 °C and January the coolest with mean maximum temperature of 18.6 °C. Soil is red lateritic, and acidic (pH 5.8 to 6.6) with high organic content.

In medium elevation evergreen forests, mean annual rainfall is 2830 mm, most of which (78%) falls during June to September. The mean monthly maximum temperature during the monsoon is 23.8 °C and the mean minimum is 20.5 °C. During the dry season, the mean maximum is 25.2 °C and minimum is 20.4 °C. The soil is red, sandy loam, porous and of lateritic origin (Oxysol). The pH ranges from 4.8-5.1.

Table.1. Study sites in evergreen and shola forests in Kerala

Location	Altitude (m above mean sea level)	Longitude	Latitude	Status
Plains and low elevation evergreen forests				
Sivapuram	76	75.6161	11.9197	Undisturbed
Kavadikkanam	335	75.2671	12.5319	Disturbed
Kannavam	372	75.7764	11.8409	Undisturbed
Medium elevation evergreen forests				
Pothumala-1	810	76.6614	10.4328	Undisturbed
Pothumala-2	1090	76.6636	10.4368	Undisturbed
Pothumala-3	1250	76.6551	10.4442	Undisturbed
Pothumala-4	1350	76.6512	10.4402	Selectively logged
Pothumala-5	1475	76.6602	10.4501	Undisturbed
Shola forests				
Aruvikkad	1910	77.0934	10.1615	Disturbed
Vagadurai	1926	77.0953	10.1862	Undisturbed
Rajamalai	2191	77.0214	10.1118	Undisturbed
Umayamalai	2412	77.0512	10.1124	Undisturbed

In shola forest area, annual rainfall recorded is 4040 mm (Kallarackal and Somen, 1999) with maximum rainfall in July (1683 mm) and minimum in March (3 mm). Annual mean maximum temperature is 27.7 °C and the annual mean minimum temperature is 11.0 °C. During monsoon (June-September), mean temperature is 16.3 °C while it is 17.54 °C during the dry season (Oct-May). May is the hottest with mean maximum temperature of 24.1 °C and January is the coldest with mean maximum temperature of 15.3 °C. Relative humidity varies from 65-82%. Soil is loamy and acidic with high organic content. The pH varies from 5.2-5.6.

2.2 Vegetation analysis

In each of the above mentioned locations, three forest plots, each of 0.5 ha in size, were marked. The size of the plot was determined based on drawing the species-area curve. In most of the forest sites, the species-area curve became smooth much before the size of the plot was 3500 m² to 4500 m². However for uniform sized plots, in each forest site, a 0.5 ha plot was marked and which in turn was divided into 50 quadrats 10 m x 10 m in size. In each quadrat, all the trees above 10.1 cm girth at breast height (gbh; 1.37 m from the ground) were first identified and gbh of each tree was recorded. For the trees with large buttresses, girth was measured just above the level of buttress. In each plot, 12 sub-quadrats of 5 m x 5 m, each one in a 10 m x 10

m were marked for enumerating tree seedlings. Since duration of the project was short, phytosociological analysis was not done for shrub and herb communities. However, a checklist of herbs and shrub species in each forest plot was prepared.

The total number of stems per ha was estimated separately for trees and tree seedlings. Basal area of all trees and tree seedlings was also calculated. Formulae used for calculating the relative density, relative frequency and relative dominance are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Relative density (RD)} &= \frac{\text{total number of individuals of a species} \times 100}{\text{total number of individuals of all species}} \\ \text{Frequency} &= \frac{\text{number of quadrats in which a species found} \times 100}{\text{number of quadrats studied}} \\ \text{Relative frequency (RF)} &= \frac{\text{frequency of a given species} \times 100}{\text{sum of frequency of all species}} \\ \text{Relative dominance (R Dom)} &= \frac{\text{total basal area of a given species} \times 100}{\text{total basal area of all species}} \end{aligned}$$

Importance value index (IVI) of a given species was calculated as the sum of its relative density, relative dominance and relative frequency. Species with highest value for IVI among a set of species in the community can be considered as the most dominant one. In this method, in a forest plot, a set of dominant species was identified. The dominance of a set of species in a given forest patch is an indication of the fact that they are adapted to the given habitat. Furthermore changes in the microclimatic conditions in the habitat could alter the distribution pattern, density and growth of species prevailing in the given habitat. In addition, difference in the climatic and other factors in two nearby plots could be responsible for the difference in the importance value of species common in both plots. Thus, for comparing plots in a given forest type but in different altitudes, importance values obtained for trees and tree seedlings of species common to both plots were considered as one of the parameters.

Shannon-Wiener index (Shannon and Weaver, 1963) was calculated using the following formula to measure relative abundance:

$$\text{Species diversity index (H)} = - \sum \left[\left(\frac{n_i}{N} \right) \log_2 \left(\frac{n_i}{N} \right) \right]$$

Where H= Shannon-Wiener index calculated to the base 2 of species diversity; n_i = number of individuals of species i ; N = total number of individuals of all species in the community.

The index of dominance of the community was calculated by Simpson's index (Simpson, 1949) as:

$$C = \sum \left(\frac{n_i}{N} \right)^2$$

where C= Simpson's index of dominance; n_i = number of individuals of species I; N= total number of individuals of all species in the community.

Sorensons' Similarity index (Kershaw, 1973) was calculated for comparing the vegetation in different altitudes of a given forest type.

$$\text{Similarity index} = 2C/A+B$$

Where, C= Total number of common species of two forest patches, A= total number of species in forest patch A, and B= total number of species in forest patch B.

3. Results

3.1 Lower elevation forests

A comparative analysis made in the relatively undisturbed forests located at Sivapuram (76 m amsl) and at Kannavam (372 m amsl) indicated that the tree community in the forest at Sivapuram was dominated by species like *Knema attenuata*, *Myristica dactyloides*, *Holigarna arnottiana*, *Polyalthia fragrans* and *Hopea parviflora* (Table 2). On the other hand, in Kannavam forest *Knema attenuata*, *Myristica malabarica*, *Holigarna grahamii*, *Cinnamomum malabattrum* and *Polyalthia fragrans* dominated the tree community. The study indicated that only 17 tree species were common to both forests and thus similarity index value of 0.4 was recorded. Comparison of the total contribution by these 17 species to the total IVI indicated that the value was more in Sivapuram forest than in Kannavam forest. However, the contribution by individual species may be significantly less in Kannavam forest as in the case of *Knema attenuata* and *Myristica dactyloides*. The study also showed that the total number of species, density and basal area of tree (gbh > 10.1 cm) were significantly ($P>0.05$) higher in the higher elevation plot (Kannavam plot) (Table 3). In these two plots, totally 68 tree species belonging to 30 families were recorded with Myristicaceae, Anacardiaceae, Annonaceae, Lauraceae and Euphorbiaceae as dominant families. For instance, the contribution to total IVI by the species belonging to these five families is 67% and 55% in Sivapuram and Kannavam plots respectively. However, the contribution by the family Myristicaceae is less in Kannavam plot (18% to the total SIVI in the tree community) than in Sivapuram plot (48% to the total SIVI in the tree community).

Table 2. Mean Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.1 cm) in forest plots at Sivapuram (76 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitudes (in m above msl)	
		76m	372 m
Species common to both plots			
<i>Aglaia elaeagnoidea</i>	Meliaceae	7.07	5.04
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i>	Apocyanaceae	10.62	4.43
<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>	Moraceae	10.56	4.95
<i>Cinnamomum malabatum</i>	Lauraceae	4.67	19.02
<i>Diospyros bourdillonii</i>	Ebenaceae	9.65	4.16
<i>Ficus nervosa</i>	Moraceae	2.64	5.61
<i>Diospyros bourdillonii</i>	Ebenaceae	9.65	4.16
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	34.67	1.12
<i>Hydnocarpus pentandra</i>	Flacourtiaceae	7.46	2.13
<i>Ixora brachiata</i>	Rubiaceae	5.05	1.16
<i>Knema attenuata</i>	Myristicaceae	80.76	31.85
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0.79	1.18
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Anacardiaceae	1.15	2.36
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	2.49	0.60
<i>Polyalthia fragrans</i>	Annonaceae	12.55	18.72
<i>Sterculia guttata</i>	Sterculiaceae	0.81	1.98
<i>Symplocos racemosa</i>	Symplocaceae	4.20	6.66
<i>Vitex altissima</i>	Verbenaceae	0.88	0.83
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Actinodaphne hookeriana</i>	Lauraceae	1.72	0
<i>Diospyros oocarpa</i>	Ebenaceae	2.26	0
<i>Elaeocarpus tuberculatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	8.60	0
<i>Hopea parviflora</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	12.28	0
<i>Lophopetalum wightianum</i>	Celastraceae	0.77	0
<i>Myristica dactyloides</i>	Myristicaceae	62.80	0
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	1.32	0
<i>Prunus zeylanica</i>	Rosaceae	3.24	0
<i>Pterospermum reticulatum</i>	Sterculiaceae	1.02	0
<i>Syzygium sp.</i>	Myrtaceae	5.69	0
<i>Strombosia zeylanica</i>	Olacaceae	4.30	0
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	0	1.05
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	0	4.27
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	Moraceae	0	0.86
<i>Baccaurea courtallensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	7.40
<i>Bischofia javanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	4.31
<i>Caryota urens</i>	Arecaceae	0	2.57
<i>Chionanthus malabarica</i>	Oleaceae	0	1.07
<i>Croton malabaricus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	2.18
<i>Cryptocarya bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0.53

---cont'd-----

Table 2 (cont'd). Mean Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in forest plots at Sivapuram (76 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitudes (in m above msl)	
		76m	372 m
<i>Diospyros candolleana</i>	Ebenaceae	0	1.08
<i>Diospyros paniculata</i>	Ebenaceae	0	5.58
<i>Diospyros</i> sp.1	Ebenaceae	0	3.39
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	3.92
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	0	1.78
<i>Filicium decipiens</i>	Sapindaceae	0	14.64
<i>Flacourtia montana</i>	Flacourtiaceae	0	1.80
<i>Garcinia gummi-gutta</i>	Clusiaceae	0	0.52
<i>Garcinia morella</i>	Clusiaceae	0	0.52
<i>Harpullia arborea</i>	Sapindaceae	0	1.50
<i>Holigarna grahamii</i>	Anacardiaceae	0	27.29
<i>Holigarna</i> sp.2	Anacardiaceae	0	8.15
<i>Lagerstroemia lanceolata</i>	Lythraceae	0	5.25
<i>Litsea floribunda</i>	Lauraceae	0	0.76
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	0	0.94
<i>Meiogyne ramarowii</i>	Annonaceae	0	4.23
<i>Myristica malabarica</i>	Myristicaceae	0	21.73
<i>Nothopegia</i> sp.	Anacardiaceae	0	0.52
<i>Otonephelium stipulaceum</i>	Sapindaceae	0	2.14
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	0	1.47
<i>Polyalthia coffeoides</i>	Annonaceae	0	8.43
<i>Pterospermum rubiginosum</i>	Sterculiaceae	0	0.59
<i>Sapindus laurifolia</i>	Sapindaceae	0	6.49
<i>Stereospermum</i> sp.	Bignoniaceae	0	2.56
<i>Syzygium munronii</i>	Myrtaceae	0	1.09
<i>Tabernaemontana heyneana</i>	Apocyanaceae	0	2.12
<i>Toona ciliata</i>	Meliaceae	0	1.60
<i>Trichilia connaroides</i>	Meliaceae	0	11.88
<i>Vepris bilocularis</i>	Rutaceae	0	6.44
<i>Xanthophyllum flavescens</i>	Xanthophyllaceae	0	3.86
Unidentified		0	11.71

Table 3. Basic information on the tree communities in forests at Sivapuram (76 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl). N= 3 replicate plots at each location.

Parameters	Tree categories			
	Trees		Tree Seedlings	
	Plots at different altitudes (in m above msl)		Plots at different altitudes (in m above msl)	
	76 m	372 m	76 m	372 m
Number of species	28	57	14	26
Density (number of individuals ha ⁻¹)	806±12	884± 9	2467±148	4033± 168
Basal Area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	34.3±3.8	46.9± 2.4	0.60±0.09	1.00±0.02
Species Diversity Index value (H)	3.12± 0.42	4.87± 0.32	3.25±0.04	3.80±0.21
Species Dominance Index value (C)	0.201± 0.022	0.057± 0.011	0.144±0.02	0.116±0.005

In Sivapuram forest plot, 14 tree species were seen in the seedling phase with the dominance of species such as *Myristica dactyloides*, *Knema attenuata*, *Symplocos racemosa*, *Antidesma zeylanica* and *Diospyros oocarpa* (Table 4). *Aglaia elaeagnoidea*, *Knema attenuata*, *Myristica malabarica*, *Antidesma zeylanica* and *Drypetes elata* were the most dominant species among 25 species recorded in the seedling phase in Kannavam forest. Only six species were common to both plots and thus the similarity index value was only 0.36. Species belonging to families like Lauraceae, Clusiaceae and Meliaceae were absent in Sivapuram forest while they contributed about 40% of the total IVI of the seedling community in Kannavam forest. Contribution by Myristicaceous species to the total IVI is significantly more in Sivapuram forest (42%) than in Kannavam forest (22.8%).

Table 4. Mean Importance Value Index (IVI) of tree seedlings (gbh ≤ 10.0cm) in forest plots at Sivapuram (76 m amsl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitudes (in m above msl)	
		76 m	372 m
Species recorded in both plots			
<i>Antidesma zeylanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	22.30	27.35
<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>	Moraceae	6.10	2.56
<i>Aglaia elaeagnoidea</i>	Meliaceae	0	65.11
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	14.79	2.94
<i>Hydnocarpus pentandra</i>	Flocourtiaceae	6.58	5.23
<i>Knema attenuata</i>	Myristicaceae	36.67	39.34
<i>Polyalthia fragrans</i>	Annonaceae	8.25	7.43

---cont'd---

Table 4 (cont'd). Mean Importance index values of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0cm) in forest plots at Sivapuram (76 m amsl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		76 m	372 m
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Aporosa lindleyana</i>	Euphorbiaceae	14.12	0
<i>Diospyros oocarpa</i>	Ebenaceae	18.85	0
<i>Elaeocarpus tuberculatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	10.24	0
<i>Hopea parviflora</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	11.62	0
<i>Hopea parviflora</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	11.62	0
<i>Myristica dactyloides</i>	Myristicaceae	90.36	0
<i>Olea dioica</i>	Oleaceae	21.58	0
<i>Symplocos racemosa</i>	Symplocaceae	31.45	0
<i>Vitex altissima</i>	Verbenaceae	7.08	0
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	0	6.29
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	0	3.70
<i>Baccaurea courtallensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	8.71
<i>Cinnamomum malabatum</i>	Lauraceae	0	12.40
<i>Diospyros bourdillonii</i>	Ebenaceae	0	7.67
<i>Diospyros paniculata</i>	Ebenaceae	0	5.05
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	15.63
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	0	4.55
<i>Garcinia gummi-gutta</i>	Clusiaceae	0	12.43
<i>Garcinia talbotii</i>	Clusiaceae	0	3.83
<i>Myristica malabarica</i>	Myristicaceae	0	28.95
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	0	6.93
<i>Syzygium gardneri</i>	Myrtaceae	0	3.23
<i>Syzygium munronii</i>	Myrtaceae	0	3.23
<i>Trichilia connaroides</i>	Meliaceae	0	14.68
<i>Zanthoxylum rhetsa</i>	Rutaceae	0	2.35
Unidentified		0	7.57

A comparative study of the vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree community was conducted in a relatively undisturbed forest (Kannavam) and a disturbed forest (Kavadikkanam), situated almost at an identical altitude. The Kavadikkanam plot shows several signs of disturbances such as coppicing shoots, harvested poles, pruned trees etc. Similarity index value obtained for Kavadikkanam forest and Kannavam forest is 0.5 with 23 species seen in Kavadikkanam were absent in Kannavam. These 23 species contributed to 50% of the species importance value calculated for tree community. In addition, it was also observed that in the Kavadikkanam forest plot, contribution by the light demanding species and those characteristic to moist deciduous forests such as, *Xylia xylocarpa*, *Pterospermum reticulatum*, *Macaranga peltata* and *Terminalia paniculata* to the total IVI was 33% (Table 5). Even though the tree density was relatively more, species diversity and basal area were less in Kavadikkanam

than in Kannavam (Table 6). The seedling community in Kavadikkanam was dominated by *Knema attenuata*, *Ixora brachiata*, *Litsea* sp., *Aglaia elaeagnoidea*, and *Syzygium cumini* with only three species (*Aglaia elaeagnoidea*, *Diospyros bourdillonii* and *Knema attenuata*) were common in Kavadikkanam and Kannavam plot (Table 7). In Kavadikkanam plot, number of tree species, density, basal area and species diversity of tree seedling community were comparatively less than those recorded in Kannavam forest (Table 6).

Table 5. Mean Importance Value Index of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in forest plots at Kavadikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		335 m	372 m
Species common to both plots			
<i>Aglaia elaeagnoidea</i>	Meliaceae	5.45	5.04
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	1.70	1.05
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i>	Apocynaceae	6.91	4.43
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	2.15	4.27
<i>Baccaurea courtallensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.79	7.40
<i>Diospyros bourdillonii</i>	Ebenaceae	1.88	4.16
<i>Diospyros</i> sp.	Ebenaceae	10.38	3.39
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.82	3.92
<i>Flacourtia montana</i>	Flocourtiaceae	0.56	1.80
<i>Garcinia morella</i>	Clusiaceae	0.63	0.52
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	5.88	1.12
<i>Hydnocarpus pentandra</i>	Flocourtiaceae	0.53	2.13
<i>Ixora brachiata</i>	Rubiaceae	14.57	1.16
<i>Knema attenuata</i>	Myristicaceae	70.23	31.85
<i>Lagerstroemia lanceolata</i>	Lythraceae	3.88	5.25
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	0.95	0.94
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	8.38	1.18
<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Anacardiaceae	1.13	2.36
<i>Meiogyne ramarowii</i>	Annonaceae	1.08	4.23
<i>Myristica malabarica</i>	Myristicaceae	1.51	21.73
<i>Sapindus laurifolia</i>	Sapindaceae	3.28	6.49
<i>Sterculia guttata</i>	Sterculiaceae	0.59	1.98
<i>Symplocos racemosa</i>	Symplocaceae	1.27	6.66
<i>Tabernaemontana heyneana</i>	Apocynaceae	0.55	2.12
<i>Vitex altissima</i>	Verbenaceae	0.53	0.83
<i>Xanthophyllum flavescens</i>	Xanthophyllaceae	0.64	3.86
Unidentified		10.50	11.71
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Actinodaphne angustifolia</i>	Lauraceae	1.35	0
<i>Antidesma menasu</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.69	0
<i>Aporusa bourdillonii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	4.27	0

-----cont'd-----

Table 5 (cont'd). Importance Value Index of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in forest plots at Kavadiikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		335 m	372 m
<i>Diospyros oocarpa</i>	Ebenaceae	4.68	0
<i>Ficus exasperata</i>	Moraceae	0.54	0
<i>Grewia tiliifolia</i>	Tiliaceae	0.61	0
<i>Litsea</i> sp.	Lauraceae	8.45	0
<i>Macaranga peltata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	11.24	0
<i>Melia dubia</i>	Meliaceae	1.13	0
<i>Memecylon umbellatum</i>	Melastomataceae	0.96	0
<i>Naringi crenulata</i>	Rutaceae	0.54	0
<i>Melia dubia</i>	Meliaceae	1.13	0
<i>Memecylon umbellatum</i>	Melastomataceae	0.96	0
<i>Naringi crenulata</i>	Rutaceae	0.54	0
<i>Olea dioica</i>	Oleaceae	19.58	0
<i>Prunus zeylanica</i>	Rosaceae	1.95	0
<i>Pterospermum diversifolium</i>	Sterculiaceae	0.53	0
<i>Pterospermum reticulatum</i>	Sterculiaceae	20.85	0
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	Myrtaceae	8.17	0
<i>Syzygium</i> sp.	Myrtaceae	7.49	0
<i>Terminalia bellirica</i>	Combretaceae	0.58	0
<i>Terminalia paniculata</i>	Combretaceae	13.14	0
<i>Xylia xylocarpa</i>	Fabaceae	31.51	0
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	Moraceae	0	0.86
<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>	Moraceae	0	4.95
<i>Bischofia javanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	4.31
<i>Croton malabaricus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	2.18
<i>Cryptocarya bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0.53
<i>Diospyros candolleana</i>	Ebenaceae	0	1.08
<i>Diospyros paniculata</i>	Ebenaceae	0	5.58
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	0	1.78
<i>Ficus nervosa</i>	Moraceae	0	5.61
<i>Filicium decipiens</i>	Sapindaceae	0	14.64
<i>Garcinia gummi-gutta</i>	Clusiaceae	0	0.52
<i>Harpullia arborea</i>	Sapindaceae	0	1.50
<i>Holigarna grahamii</i>	Anacardiaceae	0	27.29
<i>Holigarna</i> sp.	Anacardiaceae	0	8.15
<i>Litsea floribunda</i>	Lauraceae	0	0.76
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	0	0.60
<i>Nothopegia</i> sp.	Anacardiaceae	0	0.52
<i>Otonephelium stipulaceum</i>	Sapindaceae	0	2.14
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	0	1.47
<i>Polyalthia coffeoides</i>	Annonaceae	0	8.43

----cont'd-----

Table 5 (cont'd). Importance Value Index of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in forest plots at Kavadikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		335 m	372 m
<i>Polyalthia fragrans</i>	Annonaceae	0	18.72
<i>Pterospermum rubiginosum</i>	Sterculiaceae	0	0.59
<i>Stereospermum sp.</i>	Bignoniaceae	0	2.56
<i>Syzygium munronii</i>	Myrtaceae	0	1.09
<i>Toona ciliata</i>	Meliaceae	0	1.60
<i>Trichilia connaroides</i>	Meliaceae	0	11.88
<i>Vepris bilocularis</i>	Rutaceae	0	6.44

Table 6. Basic information on the tree communities in forests at Kavadikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (at 370 m above msl). N= 3 replicate plots at each location.

Parameters	Tree categories			
	Trees		Tree Seedlings	
	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)		Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
	335 m	372 m	335 m	372 m
Number of species	52	57	15	26
Density (number of individuals ha ⁻¹)	1078 \pm 19	884 \pm 9	3400 \pm	4033 \pm 168
Basal Area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	36.2 \pm 1.64	46.9 \pm 2.4	0.68 \pm	1.00 \pm 0.02
Species Diversity Index value (H)	3.80 \pm 0.22	4.87 \pm 0.32	3.40 \pm 0.12	3.80 \pm 0.21
Species Dominance Index value (C)	0.1763 \pm 0.01	0.057 \pm 0.011	0.130 \pm 0.002	0.116 \pm 0.005

Table 7. Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0cm) in forest plots at Kavadikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		335 m	372 m
Species common to both plots			
<i>Aglaia elaeagnoidea</i>	Meliaceae	26.01	65.11
<i>Diospyros bourdillonii</i>	Ebenaceae	9.75	7.67
<i>Knema attenuata</i>	Myristicaceae	80.91	39.34
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Aglaia sp.</i>	Meliaceae	17.92	0
<i>Diospyros oocarpa</i>	Ebenaceae	19.84	0
<i>Ixora brachiata</i>	Rubiaceae	38.75	0

----cont'd---

Table 7 (cont'd). Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0cm) in forest plots at Kavadiikkanam (at 335 m above msl) and Kannavam (372 m above msl)

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		335 m	372 m
<i>Litsea sp.</i>	Lauraceae	29.17	0
<i>Meiogyne ramarowii</i>	Annonaceae	15.19	0
<i>Melia dubia</i>	Meliaceae	4.70	0
<i>Nothopegia sp.</i>	Anacardiaceae	8.05	0
<i>Pterospermum diversifolium</i>	Sterculiaceae	4.57	0
<i>Pterospermum reticulatum</i>	Sterculiaceae	15.06	0
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	Myrtaceae	20.42	0
<i>Xylia xylocarpa</i>	Fabaceae	6.66	0
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	0	6.29
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	0	3.70
<i>Antidesma zeylanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	27.35
<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>	Moraceae	0	2.56
<i>Baccaurea courtallensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	8.71
<i>Cinnamomum malabatum</i>	Lauraceae	0	12.40
<i>Diospyros paniculata</i>	Ebenaceae	0	5.05
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	15.63
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	0	4.55
<i>Garcinia gummi-gutta</i>	Clusiaceae	0	12.43
<i>Garcinia talbotii</i>	Clusiaceae	0	3.83
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	0	2.94
<i>Hydnocarpus pentandra</i>	Flocourtiaceae	0	5.23
<i>Myristica malabarica</i>	Myristicaceae	0	28.95
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	0	6.93
<i>Polyalthia fragrans</i>	Annonaceae	0	7.43
<i>Pterygota alata</i>	Sterculiaceae	0	2.85
<i>Syzygium gardneri</i>	Myrtaceae	0	3.23
<i>Syzygium munronii</i>	Myrtaceae	0	3.23
<i>Trichilia connaroides</i>	Meliaceae	0	14.68
<i>Zanthoxylum rhetsa</i>	Rutaceae	0	2.35
Unidentified		0	7.57

3.2 Medium elevation evergreen forests

Palaquium ellipticum, *Mesua ferrea* and *Cullenia exarillata* were the first three dominant species in the tree community in the medium elevation forests situated in Pothumala (Table 8). These three species contributed to about 33% of the total IVI in tree community, with the contribution of *Mesua ferrea* more in the higher altitude plot. Total number of species per plot ranged from 32-38 with more number of species in the higher altitude forest patch (Table 9). Altogether 58 species were recorded from the four plots. Of these only 13 species were common

to all four plots. In addition, the aggregate contribution of these 13 species the total IVI in different plots was almost same. In these forests, Lauraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Sapotaceae, Clusiaceae and Meliaceae were the dominant families. For instance, total number of species of these four families in a plot ranged from 14 to 23. Similarly the contribution by the species belonging to these families to the total IVI in the tree community was in the range from 66% to 85%. Among these dominant families, Lauraceae showed clear trend that both the number of species and the total IVI of trees belonging to it increased with increase in the altitude.

Dominant species in the tree seedling community varies from plot to plot (Table 10). For instance, in the plot located at 810 m amsl, *Palaquium ellipticum*, *Meiogyne pannosa*, *Cullenia exarillata*, *Dimocarpus longan* and *Syzygium laetum* were the first five dominant species. In the plot at 1090 m amsl, *Memecylon* sp., *Litsea floribunda*, *Dimocarpus longan* and *Drypetes elata* and *Litsea* sp. were dominant while in the plot at 1250 m amsl, *Heritiera papilio*, *Memecylon* sp., *Drypetes elata*, *Litsea floribunda* and *Actinodaphne bourdillonii* were dominant. *Palaquium ellipticum*, *Mesua ferrea*, *Litsea floribunda* and *Actinodaphne bourdillonii* were dominant species in plot located at an altitude 1475 m amsl. Even in the seedling phase the contribution of species of Lauraceae increased with increase in the altitude. Number of species, density and species diversity index values both in the tree phase and seedling phase were more in the plot located at higher altitude (Table 9). However, values for the basal area were not that much different among different plots.

Table 8. Mean Importance index values of trees (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)			
		810 m	1090 m	1250 m	1475 m
Species recorded in all plots					
<i>Actinodaphne malabarica</i>	Lauraceae	2.33	8.02	1.39	11.42
<i>Antidesma menasu</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2.52	0.78	1.75	0.62
<i>Cinnamomum malabattrum</i>	Lauraceae	2.43	1.05	1.95	3.2
<i>Cullenia exarillata</i>	Bombacaceae	31.32	30.50	24.21	20.12
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	Sapindaceae	7.77	16.09	5.44	4.02
<i>Diospyros sylvatica</i>	Ebenaceae	2.02	0.49	1.04	0.26
<i>Garcinia morella</i>	Clusiaceae	0.69	2.30	0.87	3.56
<i>Heritiera papilio</i>	Lauraceae	8.71	4.83	11.61	20.12
<i>Litsea mysorensis</i>	Lauraceae	5.65	9.29	18.57	4.12
<i>Mesua ferrea</i>	Clusiaceae	27.54	21.66	21.50	45.02
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	40.57	56.55	50.82	49.78
<i>Polyalthia coffeoides</i>	Annonaceae	7.65	1.41	4.91	2.36
<i>Syzygium laetum</i>	Myrtaceae	21.33	9.42	8.27	4.12

----cont'd---

Table 8 (cont'd). Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.1 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)			
		810 m	1090 m	1250 m	1475 m
Species recorded in three plots					
<i>Aglaiia exstipulata</i>	Meliaceae	10.72	13.21	21.73	0
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	Moraceae	2.62	4.18	7.07	0
<i>Isonandra lanceolata</i>	Sapotaceae	10.57	9.44	8.97	0
<i>Myristica dactyloides</i>	Myristicaceae	8.15	5.72	7.73	0
<i>Agrostistachys borneensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2.40	13.45	0	8.96
<i>Drypetes wightii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	3.52	25.72	0	4.76
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	7.92	0	7.81	3.26
<i>Litsea bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	8.06	0	17.32	5.68
<i>Cassine glauca</i>	Celastraceae	0	0.62	6.83	4.26
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	14.71	20.34	7.21
<i>Litsea</i> sp.1	Lauraceae	0	6.28	2.71	10.08
Species recorded in two plots					
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	3.86	0	0.69	0
<i>Calophyllum polyanthum</i>	Clusiaceae	0.72	0	0	1.36
<i>Drypetes oblongifolia</i>	Euphorbiaceae	10.93	0	0	1.20
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	8.01	0	0	5.16
<i>Phoebe lanceolata</i>	Lauraceae	0.81	0	0	5.92
<i>Canthium</i> sp.	Rubiaceae	0	1.19	1.23	0
<i>Macaranga peltata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	0.61	10.91	0
<i>Syzygium</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae	0	5.18	5.47	0
Unidentified -1		0	12.47	13.48	0
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	0	0.77	0	2.3
<i>Holigarna ferruginea</i>	Anacardiaceae	0	7.64	0	3.26
<i>Memecylon</i> sp.	Melastomataceae	0	2.66	0	2.62
<i>Neolitsea zeylanica</i>	Lauraceae	0	1.76	0	17.18
<i>Syzygium gardneri</i>	Myrtaceae	0	0.47	0	1.26
<i>Litsea insignis</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0.35	4.14
<i>Litsea</i> sp.3	Lauraceae	0	0	12.61	4.14
Species recorded in one plot					
<i>Ardisia pauciflora</i>	Myrsinaceae	8.12	0	0	0
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	20.43	0	0	0
<i>Glochidion</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae	1.60	0	0	0
<i>Meiogyne pannosa</i>	Annonaceae	27.50	0	0	0
<i>Olea dioica</i>	Oleaceae	1.41	0	0	0
<i>Syzygium lanceolatum</i>	Myrtaceae	1.16	0	0	0
<i>Tricalysia apiocarpa</i>	Rubiaceae	1.00	0	0	0
<i>Ficus exasperata</i>	Moraceae	0	0.46	0	0
Unidentified-2		0	11.07	0	0
<i>Caryota urens</i>	Arecaceae	0	0	1.66	0

---cont'd---

Table 8 (cont'd). Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)			
		810m	1090m	1250m	1475 m
<i>Ficus racemosa</i>	Moraceae	0	0	0.76	0
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	13.21
<i>Clausena heptaphylla</i>	Rutaceae	0	0	0	4.12
<i>Litsea sp.2</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	4.64
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	2.78
<i>Schefflera venulosa</i>	Araliaceae	0	0	0	1.78

Table 9. Basic information on the tree communities in forest plots at Pothumala N= 3 replicate plots at each location.

Parameters	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)			
	810 m	1090 m	1250 m	1475 m
Trees				
Number of species	33	32	33	38
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	1396 _{+ 193}	1536 _{+ 68}	1194 ₊₄₄	1742 _{+ 146}
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	63.61 _{+ 4.76}	54.3 _{+6.12}	69.66 _{+3.24}	62.36 _{+ 2.46}
Species Diversity Index value (H)	4.28 _{+0.03}	4.19 _{+0.02}	4.28 _{+0.04}	4.62 _{+0.06}
Species Dominance Index value (C)	0.070 _{+ 0.01}	0.071 _{+ 0}	0.064 _{+ 0}	0.052 _{+ 0}
Tree seedlings				
Number of species	10	17	15	28
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	1960 _{+ 342}	2433 _{+ 54}	1800 ₊₂₄₃	2612 _{+ 43}
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	0.24 _{+ 0.03}	0.24 _{+ 0.02}	0.36 _{+ 0.02}	1.48 _{+ 0.24}
Species Diversity Index value (H)	2.99 _{+ 0.24}	3.45 _{+0.12}	3.79 _{+0.14}	4.02 _{+0.02}
Species Dominance Index Value (C)	0.157 _{+ 0.025}	0.110 _{+ 0.005}	0.083 _{+ 0.004}	0.071 _{+0.005}

Table 10. Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)			
		810 m	1090 m	1250 m	1475 m
Species recorded in all plots					
<i>Cullenia exarillata</i>	Bombacaceae	35.52	3.62	2.36	2.47
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	Sapindaceae	34.46	29.00	21.20	14.12
<i>Mesua ferrea</i>	Clusiaceae	13.92	3.49	4.49	29.29
Species recorded in three plots					
<i>Aglaia lawii</i>	Meliaceae	0	19.79	4.12	1.72
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	26.80	30.72	12.08
<i>Heritiera papilio</i>	Lauraceae	0	10.34	47.53	16.08
<i>Litsea floribunda</i>	Lauraceae	0	35.39	28.56	26.12
<i>Litsea insignis</i>	Lauraceae	0	10.72	21.31	7.12
<i>Litsea sp.2</i>	Lauraceae	0	24.64	15.99	5.16
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	0	12.76	12.43	7.10
Species recorded in two plots					
<i>Cinnamomum malabatum</i>	Lauraceae	25.01	0	0	7.12
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	27.80	0	0	17.08
<i>Litsea mysorensis</i>	Lauraceae	18.31	0	0	2.48
<i>Syzygium laetum</i>	Myrtaceae	31.84	0	0	4.2
<i>Isonandra lanceolata</i>	Sapotaceae	0	4.19	9.33	0
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	0	7.12	4.10	0
<i>Actinodaphne bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	30.19	24.72
<i>Aglaia tomentosa</i>	Meliaceae	0	0	4.10	4.7
<i>Cassine glauca</i>	Celastraceae	0	0	5.75	3.72
Species recorded in one plot					
<i>Dysoxylum malabaricum</i>	Meliaceae	3.03	0	0	0
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	10.50	0	0	0
<i>Meiogyne pannosa</i>	Annonaceae	35.60	0	0	0
<i>Garcinia gummi-gutta</i>	Clusiaceae	0	3.62	0	0
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	17.16
<i>Calophyllum polyanthum</i>	Clusiaceae	0	0	0	5.62
<i>Clausena heptaphylla</i>	Rutaceae	0	0	0	2.42
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	0	0	0	4.18
<i>Litsea bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	3.42
<i>Litsea sp.1</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	3.42
<i>Litsea sp.3</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	4.72
<i>Neolitsea zeylanica</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	7.02
<i>Phoebe lanceolata</i>	Lauraceae	0	0	0	9.12

A comparative study on the vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree community was conducted in a relatively undisturbed forest plot and a selectively logged forest plot, situated in places with about 100 m difference in altitude. The forest patch located at 1380 m above msl was felled selectively in the year 1986 and after that forest did not experience

any major disturbance. In this forest patch, *Palaquium ellipticum*, *Mesua ferrea* and *Cullenia exarillata* were dominant (Table 11). Similarity index value was 0.72 for the selectively logged plot and the relatively undisturbed plot with 25 tree species common to both plots. Further analysis indicated that in the tree community contribution of these 25 species to the total IVI was more in the selectively logged forest (84.3%) than that in the relatively undisturbed forest (77.87%). Similarly, contribution by a set of light demanding species to the total IVI of tree community was also more in selectively logged forest patch (16.5%) as against that in relatively undisturbed forest (3.2%). In the tree seedling community of selectively logged forest, *Memecylon* sp., *Litsea bourdillonii*, and *Drypetes elata* were dominant (Table 12). Similarity index value was 0.63 for the selectively logged plot and the relatively undisturbed plot with 15 tree species common in both plots. Contribution by these species to total IVI of tree seedling community was more in selectively logged forest patch (88.76%) than in the relatively undisturbed forest (60.9%). However, the contribution by light demanding species was only 3.3% in the selectively logged forest patch.

Table 11. Mean Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.1 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (m above msl)	
		1380 m	1475 m
Species recorded in both the plots			
<i>Actinodaphne malabarica</i>	Lauraceae	10.69	11.42
<i>Agrostistachys borneensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	14.56	8.96
<i>Antidesma menasu</i>	Euphorbiaceae	8.95	0.62
<i>Calophyllum polyanthum</i>	Clusiaceae	1.20	1.36
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Burseraceae	1.56	2.3
<i>Cassine glauca</i>	Celastraceae	6.28	4.26
<i>Cinnamomum malabatum</i>	Lauraceae	7.95	3.2
<i>Cullenia exarillata</i>	Bombacaceae	18.21	20.12
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	Sapindaceae	9.44	4.02
<i>Diospyros sylvatica</i>	Ebenaceae	4.04	0.26
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	10.52	7.21
<i>Garcinia morella</i>	Clusiaceae	4.26	3.56
<i>Heritiera papilio</i>	Lauraceae	10.22	20.12
<i>Holigarna arnottiana</i>	Anacardiaceae	4.01	3.26
<i>Holigarna ferruginea</i>	Anacardiaceae	3.22	3.26
<i>Litsea bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	12.12	5.68
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	12.86	5.16
<i>Memecylon</i> sp	Melastomataceae	15.36	2.62
<i>Mesua ferrea</i>	Clusiaceae	32.16	45.02
<i>Neolitsea zeylanica</i>	Lauraceae	3.48	17.18
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	49.89	49.78

----cont'd----

Table 11. Mean Importance Value Index of trees (gbh \geq 10.1 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (m above msl)	
		1380 m	1475 m
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	1.26	2.78
<i>Polyalthia coffeoides</i>	Annonaceae	4.91	2.36
<i>Syzygium laetum</i>	Myrtaceae	3.27	4.12
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Ardisia pauciflora</i>	Myrsinaceae	7.21	0
<i>Fahrenheitia zeylanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	3.78	0
<i>Macaranga peltata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	12.91	0
<i>Maesa indica</i>	Myrsinaceae	2.16	0
<i>Meiogyne pannosa</i>	Annonaceae	12.36	0
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	13.21
<i>Clausena heptaphylla</i>	Rutaceae	0	4.12
<i>Drypetes oblongifolia</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	1.20
<i>Drypetes wightii</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	4.76
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	0	7.12
<i>Litsea insignis</i>	Lauraceae	0	4.14
<i>Litsea mysorensis</i>	Lauraceae	0	4.12
<i>Litsea</i> sp.1	Lauraceae	0	10.08
<i>Litsea</i> sp.2	Lauraceae	0	8.78
<i>Phoebe lanceolata</i>	Lauraceae	0	5.92
<i>Schefflera venulosa</i>	Araliaceae	0	1.78
<i>Syzygium gardneri</i>	Myrtaceae	0	1.26

Table 12. Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at different at Pothumala

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1380 m	1475 m
Species recorded in both the plots			
<i>Aglaia tomentosa</i>	Meliaceae	18.03	5.7
<i>Cassine glauca</i>	Celastraceae	8.96	3.72
<i>Cullenia exarillata</i>	Bombacaceae	8.11	2.47
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	Sapindaceae	37.2	14.12
<i>Drypetes elata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	28.52	12.08
<i>Heritiera papilio</i>	Lauraceae	14.34	16.08
<i>Litsea bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	32.75	3.42
<i>Litsea laevigata</i>	Lauraceae	26.67	17.08
<i>Memecylon</i> sp.	Melastomataceae	32.91	14.18
<i>Mesua ferrea</i>	Clusiaceae	14.12	29.29
<i>Myristica dactyloides</i>	Myristicaceae	6.33	2.1
<i>Palaquium ellipticum</i>	Sapotaceae	19.35	42.08

---cont'd---

Table 12 (cont'd). Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (gbh \leq 10.0 cm) in forest plots in an altitudinal gradient at different at Pothumala.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1380 m	1475 m
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	11.4	7.10
<i>Phoebe lanceolata</i>	Lauraceae	6.1	9.12
<i>Syzygium laetum</i>	Myrtaceae	1.5	4.2
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Actinodaphne malabarica</i>	Lauraceae	15.47	0
<i>Fahrenheitia zeylanica</i>	Euphorbiaceae	9.88	0
<i>Isonandra lanceolata</i>	Sapotaceae	7.59	0
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	0.77	0
<i>Aglaia lawii</i>	Meliaceae	0	24.72
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	17.16
<i>Calophyllum polyanthum</i>	Clusiaceae	0	5.62
<i>Cinnamomum malabatrum</i>	Lauraceae	0	7.12
<i>Clausena heptaphylla</i>	Rutaceae	0	2.42
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	0	4.18
<i>Litsea floribunda</i>	Lauraceae	0	26.12
<i>Litsea insignis</i>	Lauraceae	0	7.12
<i>Litsea mysorensis</i>	Lauraceae	0	2.48
<i>Litsea</i> sp.1	Lauraceae	0	3.42
<i>Litsea</i> sp.2	Lauraceae	0	5.16
<i>Litsea</i> sp.3	Lauraceae	0	4.72
<i>Neolitsea zeylanica</i>	Lauraceae	0	7.02

3.3 Shola forests

Three shola forests located at elevations 1926 m, 2191 m and 2412 m were studied for tree community composition and distribution. While *Cinnamomum perrottetii* was the first dominant species in plots located at 2191m and 2412m, *Symplocos macrophylla* was the first dominant species at (1926 m). Other dominant species include *Syzygium densiflorum*, *Neolitsea scrobiculata*, *Chionanthus linocieroides*, *Gomphandra coriacea*, *Ilex wightiana*, *Cinnamomum macrocarpum*, *Cinnamomum sulphuratum* and *Microtropis ramiflora*. However, a set of first five dominant species in different plots was different (Table 13).

Table 13. Mean Importance Value Index of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)		
		1926 m	2191 m	2412 m
Species recorded in all three plots				
<i>Actinodaphne bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	1.45	7.12	10.92
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	8.89	8.94	9.76
<i>Beilschmiedia wightii</i>	Lauraceae	5.60	7.94	8.92
<i>Chionanthus linocieroides</i>	Oleaceae	20.47	8.92	4.16
<i>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</i>	Lauraceae	2.22	16.12	14.92
<i>Elaeocarpus recurvatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	0.84	2.36	12.18
<i>Garcinia</i> sp.	Clusiaceae	13.75	7.94	5.92
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	20.02	8.42	4.22
<i>Ilex wightiana</i>	Aquifoliaceae	17.17	24.12	28.29
<i>Isonandra perrottetiana</i>	Sapotaceae	2.96	7.04	9.42
<i>Isonandra</i> sp.	Sapotaceae	1.31	3.25	4.47
<i>Litsea oleoides</i>	Lauraceae	2.13	3.42	6.02
<i>Litsea</i> sp.	Lauraceae	3.90	2.14	10.02
<i>Litsea wightiana</i>	Lauraceae	6.02	7.18	5.12
<i>Microtropis ramiflora</i>	Celastraceae	6.92	10.92	18.12
<i>Neolitsea scrobiculata</i>	Lauraceae	22.19	18.36	11.08
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	1.01	5.92	4.10
<i>Saprosma fragrans</i>	Rubiaceae	3.38	2.86	8.02
<i>Symplocos macrophylla</i>	Symplocaceae	37.75	14.02	2.2
<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i>	Myrtaceae	25.97	8.03	6.02
Species recorded in two plots				
<i>Aglaia apiocarpa</i>	Meliaceae	1.71	4.20	0
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Burseraceae	2.89	3.92	0
<i>Cinnamomum wightii</i>	Lauraceae	13.86	10.14	0
<i>Glochidion neilgherrence</i>	Euphorbiaceae	17.44	6.36	0
<i>Nothapodytes nimmoniana</i>	Icacinaceae	3.33	4.16	0
<i>Psychotria</i> sp.	Rubiaceae	1.48	3.26	0
<i>Scolopia crenata</i>	Flacourtiaceae	3.30	3.02	0
<i>Symplocos cochinchinensis</i>	Symplocaceae	8.63	4.36	0
<i>Ardisia rhomboidea</i>	Myrsinaceae	0	3.22	7.16
<i>Casearia coriacea</i>	Flacourtiaceae	0	2.68	4.72
<i>Cinnamomum macrocarpum</i>	Lauraceae	0	16.32	14.16
<i>Cinnamomum perrottetii</i>	Lauraceae	0	31.24	39.88

----cont'd---

Table 13 (cont'd). Mean Importance Value Index of tree (gbh \geq 10.1 cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)		
		1926 m	2191 m	2412 m
<i>Eugenia bracteata</i>	Myristicaceae	0	3.12	4.76
<i>Euonymus crenulatus</i>	Celastraceae	0	5.26	9.26
<i>Ilex denticulata</i>	Aquifoliaceae	0	5.92	6.72
<i>Pittosporum tetraspermum</i>	Pittosporaceae	0	4.98	6.08
<i>Symplocos obtusa</i>	Symplocaceae	0	7.98	8.30
Species recorded in one plot				
<i>Bhesa indica</i>	Celastraceae	1.21	0	0
<i>Celtis tetrandra</i>	Ulmaceae	0.99	0	0
<i>Schefflera racemosa</i>	Araliaceae	1.44	0	0
<i>Syzygium sp.1</i>	Myrtaceae	1.92	0	0
<i>Vernonia arborea</i>	Asteraceae	0.48	0	0
<i>Xanthophyllum flavescens</i>	Xanthophyllaceae	0.62	0	0
<i>Aporosa lindleyana</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	3.56	0
<i>Ligustrum perrottetii</i>	Oleaceae	0	1.08	0
<i>Microtropis ovalifolia</i>	Celastraceae	0	0	12.12
<i>Rapanea thwaitesii</i>	Myrsinaceae	0	0	7.12

Similarity Index value obtained for plots in 1926 m and 2191 m elevation was 0.82, while that obtained for plots located at 2191 m and 2412 m was 0.83. However, the value obtained for sites located at 1926 m and 2412 m was 0.686. *Neolitsea scrobiculata* was the first dominant species in the tree seedling community in plots located at elevation 1926 m and 2191 m, while *Microtropis ramiflora* was the first dominant species in the plot located at 2412 m elevation. Other dominant species include *Apollonias arnottii*, *Syzygium densiflorum*, *Scolopia crenulata* and *Symplocos cochinchinensis* (Table 14). Similarity Index value obtained for seedling community for plots in 1926 m and 2191 m was 0.806, while that obtained for plots located at 2191 m and 2412 m was 0.912. However, the value obtained for sites located at 1926 m and 2412 m was 0.767. In these forests, species belonging to 23 families were recorded. Among these, Lauraceae, Celastraceae, Oleaceae, Aquifoliaceae, Symplocaceae and Myrtaceae contributed 70 to 74% of the total IVI of the tree and tree seedling communities. Density of tree and tree seedlings increased with the increase in altitude, however, no clear trend was recorded for parameters such as basal area, indices of species diversity and species dominance (Table 15).

Table 14. Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (girth < 10.1 cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)		
		1926 m	2191 m	2412 m
Species recorded in all three plots				
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	27.22	20.16	18.18
<i>Aporusa lindleyana</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2.31	4.68	5.72
<i>Beilschmiedia wightii</i>	Lauraceae	7.1	5.64	7.36
<i>Chionanthus linocieroides</i>	Oleaceae	5.32	7.62	6.42
<i>Cinnamomum macrocarpum</i>	Lauraceae	4.98	10.02	7.32
<i>Cinnamomum wightii</i>	Lauraceae	9.34	4.56	6.71
<i>Eugenia bracteata</i>	Myristicaceae	2.53	3.72	4.86
<i>Garcinia</i> sp.	Clusiaceae	13.14	3.46	3.18
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	9.67	8.72	7.36
<i>Ilex denticulata</i>	Aquifoliaceae	3.23	4.18	5.62
<i>Ilex wightiana</i>	Aquifoliaceae	4.73	6.36	8.46
<i>Isonandra perrottetiana</i>	Sapotaceae	2.53	1.36	4.12
<i>Litsea oleoides</i>	Lauraceae	17.02	8.94	10.18
<i>Litsea</i> sp.	Lauraceae	9.67	9.49	12.36
<i>Microtropis ovalifolia</i>	Celastraceae	9.75	8.49	13.16
<i>Microtropis ramiflora</i>	Celastraceae	30.53	12.56	27.08
<i>Neolitsea scrobiculata</i>	Lauraceae	55.34	30.08	19.76
<i>Psychotria</i> sp.	Rubiaceae	17.50	2.36	4.12
<i>Saprosma fragrans</i>	Rubiaceae	2.66	5.74	8.32
<i>Scolopia crenata</i>	Flacourtiaceae	15.02	24.08	18.08
<i>Symplocos macrophylla</i>	Symplocaceae	5.41	18.92	12.14
<i>Symplocos cochinchinensis</i>	Symplocaceae	10.49	23.18	15.08
<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i>	Myrtaceae	18.77	7.95	6.32
Species recorded in two plots				
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Burseraceae	2.47	1.28	0
<i>Nothapodytes nimmoniana</i>	Icacinaceae	8.83	2.76	0
<i>Actinodaphne bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	0	6.74	8.91
<i>Bhesa indica</i>	Celastraceae	0	2.74	2.12
<i>Cinnamomum perrottetii</i>	Lauraceae	0	5.38	8.92
<i>Elaeocarpus recurvatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	0	3.21	5.74
<i>Euonymus crenulatus</i>	Celastraceae	0	10.98	6.76
<i>Litsea wightiana</i>	Lauraceae	0	6.12	9.12
<i>Pittosporum tetraspermum</i>	Pittosporaceae	0	7.86	10.12
Species recorded in one plot				
<i>Glochidion neilgherrence</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2.24	0	0
<i>Ligustrum perrottetii</i>	Oleaceae	2.53	0	0
<i>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</i>	Lauraceae	0	6.32	0
<i>Isonandra</i> sp.	Sapotaceae	0	1.78	0
<i>Symplocos obtusa</i>	Symplocaceae	0	6.24	7.11
<i>Rapanea thwaitesii</i>	Myrsinaceae	0	0	5.64
<i>Syzygium</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae	0	0	3.65

Table 15. Basic information on the tree communities in shola forests located in an altitudinal gradient.

Parameters	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)		
	1926 m	2191 m	2412 m
Trees			
Number of species	39	39	31
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	1094 ± 124	1246 ± 49	1468 ± 56
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	52.04 ± 2.12	48.76 ± 2.48	51.36 ± 1.36
Species Diversity Index value (H)	3.09 ± 0.5	3.12 ± 0.12	2.89 ± 0.7
Species Dominance Index value (C)	0.0606 ± 0.004	0.0598 ± 0.003	0.06812 ± 0.002
Tree seedlings			
Number of species	27	35	33
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	4300 ± 340	4560 ± 120	4940 ± 65
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	1.0 ± 0.04	1.12 ± 0.03	0.98 ± 0.02
Species Diversity Index value (H)	4.03 ± 0	4.36 ± 0.007	4.30 ± 0.003
Species Dominance Index Value (C)	0.0967 ± 0.003	0.0926 ± 0.004	0.0912 ± 0.003

A comparative study on the vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree community was conducted in a relatively undisturbed and a disturbed shola forest patches, situated almost in an identical altitude. In the disturbed plot, *Clerodendrum viscosum*, *Syzygium densiflorum*, *Canthium dicoccum*, *Litsea wightianum* and *Alseodaphne semecarpifolia* were the first five dominant species in the tree phase (Table 16).

Table 16. Mean Importance Value Index of tree (gbh ≥ 10.1 cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1910 m	1926 m
Species recorded in both plots			
<i>Actinodaphne bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	9.96	1.45
<i>Beilschmiedia wightii</i>	Lauraceae	5.65	5.60
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Burseraceae	2.99	2.89
<i>Celtis tetrandra</i>	Ulmaceae	1.34	0.99
<i>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</i>	Lauraceae	1.28	2.22
<i>Cinnamomum wightii</i>	Lauraceae	11.50	13.86
<i>Elaeocarpus recurvatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	1.27	0.84
<i>Garcinia</i> sp.	Clusiaceae	3.38	13.75
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	18.34	20.02
<i>Ilex wightiana</i>	Aquifoliaceae	1.79	17.17
<i>Isonandra perottetiana</i>	Sapotaceae	5.68	2.96

----cont'd---

Table 16 (Cont'd). Mean Importance index values of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1910 m	1926 m
<i>Litsea wightiana</i>	Lauraceae	20.31	6.02
<i>Neolitsea fischeri</i>	Lauraceae	0.71	0.71
<i>Neolitsea cassia</i>	Lauraceae	7.36	7.36
<i>Microtropis ramiflora</i>	Celastraceae	0.62	6.92
<i>Scolopia crenata</i>	Flacourtiaceae	0.62	3.30
<i>Symplocos macrophylla</i>	Symplocaceae	0.92	37.75
<i>Symplocos cochinchinensis</i>	Symplocaceae	2.66	8.63
<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i>	Myrtaceae	21.01	25.97
<i>Vernonia arborea</i>	Asteraceae	10.37	0.48
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Acronychia pedunculata</i>	Rutaceae	0.87	0
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	20.23	0
<i>Beilschmiedia bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	1.86	0
<i>Canthium dicoccum</i>	Rubiaceae	20.70	0
<i>Casearia coriacea</i>	Flacourtiaceae	1.52	0
<i>Cinnamomum perrottetii</i>	Lauraceae	1.26	0
<i>Clerodendron viscosum</i>	Verbenaceae	37.54	0
<i>Debregeasia longifolia</i>	Urticaceae	0.67	0
<i>Elaeocarpus munronii</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	4.07	0
<i>Elaeocarpus tuberculatus</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	11.68	0
<i>Eurya nitida</i>	Theaceae	1.60	0
<i>Grewia tiliifolia</i>	Tiliaceae	0.68	0
<i>Hydnocarpus alpina</i>	Flacourtiaceae	2.62	0
<i>Maesa indica</i>	Myrsinaceae	4.76	0
<i>Mallotus tetracoccus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.31	0
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	7.77	0
<i>Meiogyne pannosa</i>	Annonaceae	1.38	0
<i>Meliosma simplicifolia</i>	Sabiaceae	2.12	0
<i>Miliusa indica</i>	Annonaceae	1.26	0
<i>Symplocos obtusa</i>	Symplocaceae	0.68	0
<i>Viburnum coriaceum</i>	Caprifoliaceae	1.38	0
<i>Viburnum punctatum</i>	Caprifoliaceae	0.81	0
<i>Aglaiia apiocarpa</i>	Meliaceae	0	1.71
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	8.89
<i>Bhesa indica</i>	Celastraceae	0	1.21
<i>Chionanthus linocieroides</i>	Oleaceae	0	20.47
<i>Glochidion neilgherrence</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	17.44
<i>Isonandra</i> sp.	Sapotaceae	0	1.31
<i>Litsea oleoides</i>	Lauraceae	0	2.13

Table 16 (Cont'd). Mean Importance index values of tree (gbh \geq 10.1cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1910 m	1926 m
<i>Litsea</i> sp.	Lauraceae	0	3.90
<i>Neolitsea scrobiculata</i>	Lauraceae	0	22.19
<i>Nothapodytes nimmoniana</i>	Icacinaceae	0	3.33
<i>Syzygium</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae	0	1.92
<i>Xanthophyllum flavescens</i>	Xanthophyllaceae	0	0.62

The tree seedling phase in the disturbed plot was dominated by *Elaeocarpus munronii*, *Litsea wightiana*, *Gomphandra coriacea*, *Alseodaphne semecarpifolia* and *Syzygium densiflorum* (Table 17). Study also revealed that the contribution by light demanding species to the total IVI was 25.6% and 8% in the seedling and tree phases in the disturbed forest plot respectively. Similarity index value calculated for the disturbed and undisturbed plots for the tree community was 0.56 and when it was 0.345 for the seedling community. Density, basal area and species diversity values for both seedling and tree communities were significantly more in disturbed plot (Table 18).

Table 17. Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (girth < 10.1 cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1910 m	1926 m
Species recorded in both plots			
<i>Beilschmiedia wightii</i>	Lauraceae	7.66	7.1
<i>Chionanthus linocieroides</i>	Oleaceae	4.05	5.32
<i>Garcinia</i> sp.	Clusiaceae	4.26	13.14
<i>Glochidion neilgherrence</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.34	2.24
<i>Gomphandra coriacea</i>	Icacinaceae	23.41	9.67
<i>Ilex wightiana</i>	Aquifoliaceae	6.56	4.73
<i>Saprosma fragrans</i>	Rubiaceae	3.53	2.66
<i>Scolopia crenata</i>	Flacourtiaceae	3.57	15.02
<i>Symplocos cochinchinensis</i>	Symplocaceae	8.83	10.49
<i>Syzygium densiflorum</i>	Myrtaceae	18.82	18.77
Species recorded in one plot			
<i>Actinodaphne bourdillonii</i>	Lauraceae	16.30	0
<i>Alseodaphne semecarpifolia</i>	Lauraceae	21.37	0
<i>Canthium dicoccum</i>	Rubiaceae	12.69	0
<i>Celtis tetrandra</i>	Ulmaceae	3.57	0

---cont'd---

Table 17(cont'd). Mean Importance Value Index of tree seedlings (girth < 10.0cm) in shola forest plots in an altitudinal gradient.

Species	Family	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
		1910 m	1926 m
<i>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</i>	Lauraceae	11.70	0
<i>Clerodendrum viscosum</i>	Verbenaceae	5.30	0
<i>Elaeocarpus munronii</i>	Elaeocarpaceae	32.49	0
<i>Eurya nitida</i>	Theaceae	1.19	0
<i>Isonandra lanceolata</i>	Sapotaceae	6.59	0
<i>Litsea wightiana</i>	Lauraceae	26.26	0
<i>Macaranga peltata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2.99	0
<i>Maesa indica</i>	Myrsinaceae	3.06	0
<i>Mallotus philippensis</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1.53	0
<i>Mastixia arborea</i>	Cornaceae	15.54	0
<i>Meiogyne pannosa</i>	Annonaceae	4.59	0
<i>Neolitsea cassia</i>	Lauraceae	14.77	0
<i>Persea macrantha</i>	Lauraceae	3.02	0
<i>Phoebe lanceolata</i>	Lauraceae	16.07	0
<i>Rapanea thwaitesii</i>	Myrsinaceae	5.58	0
<i>Viburnum coriaceum</i>	Caprifoliaceae	1.08	0
<i>Viburnum punctatum</i>	Caprifoliaceae	6.15	0
<i>Apollonias arnottii</i>	Lauraceae	0	27.22
<i>Aporusa lindleyana</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0	2.31
<i>Canarium strictum</i>	Burseraceae	0	2.47
<i>Cinnamomum macrocarpum</i>	Lauraceae	0	4.98
<i>Cinnamomum wightii</i>	Lauraceae	0	9.34
<i>Eugenia bracteata</i>	Myristicaceae	0	2.53
<i>Ilex denticulata</i>	Aquifoliaceae	0	3.23
<i>Isonandra perrottetiana</i>	Sapotaceae	0	2.53
<i>Ligustrum perrottetii</i>	Oleaceae	0	2.53
<i>Litsea oleoides</i>	Lauraceae	0	26.69
<i>Microtropis ovalifolia</i>	Celastraceae	0	9.75
<i>Microtropis ramiflora</i>	Celastraceae	0	30.53
<i>Neolitsea scrobiculata</i>	Lauraceae	0	55.34
<i>Nothapodytes nimmoniana</i>	Icacinaceae	0	8.83
<i>Psychotria</i> sp.	Rubiaceae	0	17.50
<i>Symplocos macrophylla</i>	Symplocaceae	0	5.41

Table 18. Basic information on the tree communities in shola forests located in an altitudinal gradient. N= 3 replicate plots at each location.

Parameters	Plots at different altitude (in m above msl)	
	1910 m	1926 m
Trees		
Number of species	49	39
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	1031 ₊₁₂	1094 ₊₇
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	64.56 _{+3.25}	52.04 _{+2.18}
Species Diversity Index value (H)	4.56 _{+ 0.78}	3.09 _{+ 0.25}
Species Dominance Index value (C)	0.072 _{+ 0.009}	0.061 _{+ 0.002}
Tree seedlings		
Number of species	31	27
Density (individuals ha ⁻¹)	4474 ₊₁₆	4300 _{+ 33}
Basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)	2.12 _{+0.35}	1.0 _{+ 0.12}
Species Diversity Index value (H)	4.50 _{+ 0.03}	4.03 _{+0.06}
Species Dominance Index Value (C)	0.055 _{+ 0.009}	0.097 _{+ 0.005}

4. Discussion and conclusions

Two major climatic changes predicted in the Indian context include rise in the water table in lowland areas and increase in the atmospheric temperature. Results of the comparative study conducted in low elevation forests in Kerala are discussed to give an insight into the difference in phytosociology of these forests and also to project the possible impact of predicted rise in water level in lowland areas. The Sivapuram forest plot located at 76 m above mean sea level represents a swampy forest patch. Here the family Myristicaceae dominates the tree community. Thus this forest patch can be called as a Myristica swamp. Similar forest type can be seen elsewhere in the Western Ghats (Chandran and Mesta, 2001; Varghese and Kumar, 1997 and Varghese and Menon, 1999). Contribution of species belonging to Myristicaceae to the total IVI of tree community may be different in these forests. For instance, species of Myristicaceae contributed about 48% of the total IVI of tree community in Sivapuram while the contribution by them is 33% and 49% respectively in Myristica swamps in Uttara Kannada district (Chandran and Mesta, 2001), Agasthyamalai region of Kerala (Varghese and Menon, 1999) respectively. Varghese and Kumar (1997) recorded 62% to 74% contributions to the total IVI by the species of Myristicaceae in the Myristica swamps in the southern Kerala. Over-dominance of Myristicaceae and other species such as *Holigama arnottiana*, *Polyalthia fragrans* and *Symplocos racemosa* can be

attributed to certain physiological and morphological adaptations to the swampy habitat. Presence of stilt roots, which become flat and woody with age, can be seen in the trees of *Myristica dactyloides* and *Knema attenuata* growing in swamps. In the case of swamp growing trees of *Holigama arnottiana* breathing roots in the form of loops studded with lenticels protruding into the air from the flooded substratum are common.

It is interesting to note that species such as *Knema attenuata*, *Myristica dactyloides* and *Polyalthia fragrans*, which are dominant in the Myristica swamp of Sivapuram are also dominant in the Kannavam forest which is not a myristica swamp and is located in relatively higher elevation (372 m). However, their contribution to the total IVI is relatively less. In addition, in Kannavam forest, these species do not show any morphological features exhibited by them in the swampy area. However, studies elsewhere indicated that the trees of above-mentioned species have the potential to possess certain morphological features of swamp-growing individuals even in the non-swamp areas (Chandran and Mesta, 2001). Comparatively high species diversity in the Kannavam forest can be attributed to two factors namely, the less dominance of species of Myristicaceae and partitioning of resources by species characteristic to a wide range of elevation. Thus it may be concluded that the Myristica swamps in lowlands of Kerala as in case of at Sivapuram forests represent a distinct plant association. It seems that the climate change in India, which is expected to increase the water table in the low lying areas, may not directly alter habitats and the vegetation structure and composition in myristica swamps located here. However, one can expect certain indirect impacts of water level rise in the coastline due to climate change on these forests. In India, most agriculturally fertile region with rice fields, other than the Indo-Gangetic plain, lies along the Indian Coast line, which are highly vulnerable to inundation and intrusion of salinity. Asthana (1993) estimated that in the absence of protection, 1 m sea level rise on the Indian Coast line is likely to affect a total area of 5763 km², and put 7.1 million people at risk. The dominant cost is due to land loss, which accounts for 83% of all damages. In such a situation, possibilities of encroachment of Myristica swamps and their conversion for agriculture, construction, industrial uses, etc., cannot be ruled out.

Palaquium ellipticum, *Mesua ferrea* and *Cullenia exarillata* dominate the relatively undisturbed forest patches at different altitudinal gradients selected to represent medium elevation evergreen forests. According to Pascal (1988), forests

dominated by above mentioned three species are the most important among the medium elevation forest types in the Western Ghats both in area and quality. Possible impact of climate change in the medium elevation forests is increase in atmospheric temperature and rainfall. It is also expected that the temperature in a forest patch located at a given altitude could rise to the level of temperature being experienced in the lower altitude forests. In this context, in the medium elevation forest type, comparison of vegetation structure and composition of forest patches located in the relatively higher altitude with those in lower altitude could provide an indication of possible species composition and other phytosociological features in higher altitude forest patches. It may be mentioned here that only 13 species were found to be common in all four plots. This kind of dissimilarity in tree species composition could be due to the difference in gap phase regeneration patterns in these forest patches. In other words, the number, size, frequency and seasonality of natural canopy gap formation determine the regeneration of different species (Chandrashekara and Ramakrishnan, 1994). There could be difference in these parameters in different forest patches and thus species composition may vary. However, as already indicated, in the forest patches along the gradient, a same set of species remained dominant in the tree community. Thus, climate change may not alter the vegetation to an extent where the vegetation alters drastically. However, number of species, density and values obtained for species diversity index, both in tree and seedling communities are more in high altitude forests. Therefore, possible impact of climate change on relatively high altitude forests could be the reduction in species diversity and density of tree and seedling communities. It may be worth mentioning here that a clear trend in increase in density, basal area and contribution to the total species importance index values by *Mesua ferrea* and the family Lauraceae with increase in elevation was noticed. Thus it is also possible to predict that due to climate change, in higher elevation forests, current dominance of *Mesua ferrea* and Lauraceae may be reduced.

As in the case of medium elevation forest type, even in the high altitude forests (shola forests), possible impact of climate change would be increase in atmospheric temperature and rainfall. Here also it could be expected that the temperature in a forest patch located at a given altitude could rise to the level of temperature being experienced in the lower altitude forests. Thus, comparison of vegetation structure and composition of forest patches located in relatively higher altitude with those in lower altitude could

provide an indication of possible species composition and other phytosociological features in higher altitude forests. It may be mentioned here that unlike the plots selected at medium elevation forests, shola forest patches along the altitudinal gradient are different from each other in terms of dominant species in their tree communities. As the elevation increases, the dominance of *Cinnamomum perrottetii* and *Microtropis ramiflora* increased respectively in tree and seedling communities. In addition, the species composition in higher altitude forests is significantly different from the plots located in lower elevation shola forests as indicated by the similarity index values obtained for tree and seedling communities. Thus it can be predicted that, due to climate change, in the higher altitude shola forests, the dominance of *Cinnamomum perrottetii* and *Microtropis ramiflora* may be reduced and species composition may be changed into that being seen in lower altitude sholas. Currently, in higher altitude shola forest patches, density of tree and seedlings are more than those in lower altitude shola forest patches. Even height of the trees is also relatively less. Thus, it can also be predicted that due to climate change, density of trees and seedling and height of the trees could increase in the higher elevation sholas.

In the tropics, several comparative studies were conducted to determine the changes in the environmental conditions in the forest due to disturbance. These studies indicated that in the disturbed forest patches, when compared to undisturbed forests, light intensity and duration would be more. Similarly, when the humidity would be comparatively low, evaporation from the soil surface would be high in the disturbed forests. Thus, in some literature, it is hypothesised that the impact of climate change would be similar to that of disturbance in the forest. It is also mentioned that the studies on floristic diversity, vegetation structure, composition and regeneration patterns in the disturbed forests in a given forest type would help to predict the impact of climate change on these parameters. In this context, in the present study, in each forest type, a disturbed forest and a relatively undisturbed forest patch, located in an almost identical altitude, were compared for their vegetation structure and composition with special reference to tree communities. In each of the three forest types, disturbed and undisturbed forests patches are similar in terms of the occurrence of same set of dominant species of the given forest type. Thus, in the low elevation forest type, disturbed and undisturbed forest patches are similar in terms of the contribution of Myristicaceae to the total IVI of tree community. Similarly, in a forest patch disturbed

about 16 years back by selective logging operation and in a relatively undisturbed forest located almost in the same altitude, *Palaquium ellipticum*, *Mesua ferrea* and *Cullenia exarillata* are dominant in the tree community. However, in each of the three forest types, unlike in the undisturbed forest patch, in the disturbed forest patch a set of deciduous or light demanding tree species contributed considerably to the total IVI of tree community. A significant difference in terms of species composition, can be observed between undisturbed forest and disturbed forest situated in a given altitude if they were independently compared with the undisturbed forest situated in a relatively lower altitude. Based on all these observations, it is possible to conclude that in a given forest type, in the relatively undisturbed forest patch located at higher altitude, the climate change probably offer competitive advantages over the other species for some species typical to the relatively undisturbed forests. Thus it can be predicted that such species could become over-dominant in forest patches where they are currently not so. On the other hand, microclimatic changes induced by human disturbance are different from the normal climate change. This fact is clear from the present study where it has been demonstrated that the human disturbance alter the vegetation structure and composition of tree community in such a way that light demanding or deciduous tree species, which are generally absent or poorly represented in a relatively undisturbed forests, become dominant. It may also be concluded that if monitoring and detection of vegetation responses to climate changes is to be successful, the monitoring system should be tuned to the location where other disturbances are not likely to override the responses forced by climate changes. Otherwise such responses could easily be misinterpreted as being governed by climate changes rather than by changes in landuse.

5. References

- Achanta, A.N. and Kanetkar, R. (1996). Impact of climate change on forest productivity: A case study of Kerala, India. Presented at the Asian and Pacific Workshop on Climate Change Vulnerability and Adaptation Assessment. 15-19 January 1996, Manila, Philippines.
- Asthana, V. (1993). Report on impacts of sea level rise on the islands and coasts of India. Report submitted to Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Bhatt, J.R. and Sharma, S.K. (2002). Impacts of Climate change on India and Climate change related activities. In: P.R. Shukla, S.K. Sharma and P.V. Ramana, (Eds.). Climate Change and

- India: Issues, Concerns and Opportunities. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi. Pp.111-172.
- Blasco, F. 1971. Montagnes du sud de l'Inde: Forêts Savanes, ecologie. Inst. Fr. Pondicherry, Trav. Sect. Sci. tech. 10: 1-436.
- Chandran, M.D.S. and Mesta, D.K. (2001). On the Conservation of the Myristica swamps of the Western Ghats. In: R.Uma Shaanker, K.N.Ganeshiah and K.S. Bawa, (Eds.). Forest Genetic Resources: Status and Conservation Strategies. Oxford & IBH Publ. Co, New Delhi. pp 1-19.
- Chandrashekhara, U.M. and Ramakrishnan, P.S. (1994), Vegetation and gap dynamics of a humid tropical forest in the Western Ghats of Kerala, India. Journal of Tropical Ecology 10: 337-354.
- Deshingkar, P., Bradley, P.N., Chadwick, M.J., Leach, G., Kaul, O.N., Banerjee, S.P., Singh, B. and Kanetkar, R.(1997). Adapting to climate change in a forest based landuse system: A case study of Himachal Pradesh, India. Stockholm Environment Institute, Stockholm.
- Hulme, M. and Viner, D. (1998). A climate change scenario for the forests. Climate Change, 39: 337-346.
- IPCC. (2001). Science of Climate Change - Third assessment Report (TAR) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kallarackal, J. and Somen, C.K. (1999). Weather data acquisition from selected wildlife sanctuaries in Kerala, KFRI Research Report No. 176, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Kerala, India.
- Kershaw, K.A. (1973). Quantitative and Dynamic Plant Ecology. Edward Arnold, London.
- Mitra, A.P. (Ed.) (1992). Global Change: Greenhouse Gas Emissions in India-1991. Methane Campaign, No.2, INSDOC, CSIR, New Delhi.
- Nair, K.K.N. Khanduri, S.K. and Balasubramanyan, K. 2001. Shola Forests of Kerala: Environment and Biodiversity. Kerala Forest Department and Kerala Forest Research Institute, Kerala.
- Nair, T.K.R. (1997). The Forest Wealth of Kerala. In: P.Pushpangadan and K.S.S.Nair (Eds.) Biodiversity and Tropical Forests: The Kerala Scenario. The State Committee on Science, Technology and Environment, Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram. pp.127-131.
- NRC, 1982. Ecological Aspects of Development in the Humid Tropics. National Research Council (U.S.), National Academy Press, Washington D.C.
- Pascal, J.P. (1988). Wet Evergreen Forests of the Western Ghats of India: Ecology, Structure, Floristic Composition and Succession. French Institute of Pondicherry, Pondicherry, India.
- Ravindranath, N.H. and Sukumar, R. (1998). Climate change and tropical forests of India. Climate Change 35: 297-390.
- Shukla, P.R., Sharma, S. K. and Ramana, P.V. (Eds.) (2002). Climate Change and India: Issues, Concerns and Opportunities. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi.

- Simpson, E.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, 163: 688.
- Sinha, S.K. (1997). Global change scenario - current and future with reference to land cover change and sustainable agriculture. *Current Science* 72: 846-854.
- Shannon, C. E. and W. Weaver (1963). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Univ. Illinois Press, Urbana,
- Sukumar, R., Suresh, H.S., Ramesh, R. and Stott, P. (1995). Climate change and its impact on tropical montane ecosystems in southern India. *Journal of Biogeography* 22: 533-536.
- Varghese, A.O. and Menon, A.R.R. (1999). Floristic composition, dynamics and diversity of *Myristica* swamp forests of southern Western Ghats, Kerala. *Indian Forester* 125: 775-783.
- Varghese, A.V. and Kumar, B.M. (1997). Ecological observations in the fresh water swamp forests of southern Kerala, India. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 9: 299-314

6. Acknowledgements

Winrock International India, as part of its GEF and UNDP funded Project on 'Enabling Activities for the Preparation of India's Initial National Communication to United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change' supported this Project. I am grateful to Dr. Sumana Bhattacharya and Dr. Amit Garg, National Expert Consultants in the Winrock International India for their continuous encouragement and support to carry out the study. I express my sincere gratitude to Dr. J.K. Sharma, Director and Dr. R. Gnanaharan, Research Coordinator for their keen interest and encouragement. My sincere thanks are due to Dr. N.H. Ravindranath and Dr. R. Sukumar of Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, for their valuable comments and suggestions, which helped me to implement the project successfully. My sincere thanks are due to Dr. K. Balasubramanyan, Dr. Jose Kallarackal and Dr. K. Swarupanandan for their editorial comments on the final draft. It is my pleasure to extend my thanks to Mr. K. A. Sreejith and Mr. Sathian P. Joseph for their skillful assistance in fieldwork and data processing.