

**FOLIAR ANALYSIS IN
EUCALYPTUS TERETICORNIS AND E.GRANDIS
TO ASSESS SOIL TEST METHODS FOR
NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM**

S.Sankar
M.V.Mary
T.G.Alexander



KERALA FOREST RESEARCH INSTITUTE
PEECHI, THRISSUR

February 1988

Pages:16

CONTENTS

	Page	File
Abstract	1	r.53.2
1 Introduction	2	r.53.3
2 Materials and methods	3	r.53.4
3 Results and discussion	5	r.53.5
4 Conclusion	13	r.53.6
5 Literature cited	14	r.53.7

Abstract

While plantations of eucalypts, occupy over 35,000 ha in Kerala, no studies have been conducted far to explore the possibilities of nutrient management for increasing productivity. The present work aims at assessing the foliar nutrient levels of *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* especially the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in relation to the content of these elements in the soil.

Field work was carried out in Kondazhi (1977, *E. tereticornis* plantation, Trichur Forest Division) and Muthanga (1980, *E. grandis* plantation, Kozhikode Forest Division). Soils were collected from pits (0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm layers) and the foliar material was sampled thrice, (April 1983, September 1983 and March 1984). Analysis of N, P and K in soil and plant were carried out following standard procedures.

Soils in both *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* plantation; contained low levels of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and extractable P while the content of K was moderately high. The same trend was observed in foliar concentrations of these elements. Sampling season did not have an impact on foliar levels of N, P and K and fully expanded leaves were found to be reliable material for foliar analysis. The markedly low concentrations of N and P in the soil as well as plant material suggest that productivity can be improved by soil nutrient management. '

Introduction

Judicious management of tree nutrition is an important tool not only to ensure increased tree productivity but also to sustain productivity of forest plantations over a long-term period. It is therefore necessary to identify the characteristics of the nutrient reserve in the soil and assess the same in relation to the supply of essential elements to the trees. Foliar analysis is a well established and sensitive method used to assist diagnosis of mineral requirements in agriculture and horticulture (Driessche, 1974) although its application in forestry has commenced recently (Bowen and Nambiar, 1984; Schonau, 1984). Application of foliar analysis to a tree species for the purpose of diagnosing nutrient requirements is based on the premise that a general relationship exists between foliar nutrient concentration and growth or yield parameters within the species.

Exhaustive investigations have been carried out on the mineral nutrient diagnosis of forest tree species in the temperate zone; western hemlock (Radwan and De Bell, 1980), pine (Bevege and Richards, 1972) and Tilia (Insley et al., 1981). Use of leaf nutrient levels has been scarce in relation to management of plantations in the tropics and only explorative investigations have been reported (Nanda, 1963; Seth and Bhatnagar, 1962; Haaq, 1983; Sharma, 1983).

With the introduction of a range of eucalypt species all over the world, especially in the tropics, as a major component in the plantation forestry programme, of late, much attention has been drawn to the nutritional problems related with them (Lamb, 1976; Lamb, 1977;

Schonnu, 1981; Cromer and Williams, 1982; Bell and Ward 1984; Haridasan, 1985). Although eucalypts play a dominant role in Indian forestry, comprehensive investigations are lacking only isolated attempts on the nutrient relations of eucalypt seedlings have been made (Hussain and Theagarajan, 1966; Kaul et. al, 1968; Chauhan, 1977). As trees have three distinct nutritional stages with advancing age (Miller et al., 1981) studies on young seedlings may provide little information for the nutritional management of older stands. With reference to Kerala, where plantations of two species of eucalypts *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* occupy over 35,000 ha no studies have been conducted so far to explore the possibilities of nutrient management in increasing productivity. This project aims at, assessing the foliar nutrient; levels of *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* plantations, especially the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in relation to the content of the same in soil.

Materials and Methods

The study sites were Kondazhi (10°42'N 76°24'E) for E. tereticornis and Muthanga (11°40'N, 76°22'E) for E. grandis in Trichur and Kozhikode Forest Divisions respectively. Details on the plantations are given in Table 1.

Four plots 10 x 10 m in E. tereticornis plantations and 12.5 x 12.5 m in E. grandis plantation were demarcated to have 20 trees in each. The plots were on an average 500 m from each other.

Current year twigs were sampled from top one third of the crown in April 1983, September, 1983 and March 1984. The leaves; from one

plot were pooled after stratifying them into different classes viz. newly expanding, class I expanded and class II expanded depending on their position and size from top to bottom. The samples were oven dried at 60°C, ground and prepared for analysis. Leaf nitrogen (N) was estimated using modified Convey's microdiffusion technique after wet digestion (Walsh and Beaton, 1973). Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were assessed after dry ashing the samples by colorimetry (Walsh and Beaton, 1973).

Soil samples were collected from three soil pits dug in each plot from 0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm depths. They were air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. NO₃-N was estimated using CaSO₄ as extractant, P using Bray-2 method and K with sodium acetate extractant (ASA, 1965).

Table 1 Characteristics of study sites and plantations

Species and Year of planting	Location	Elevation m asl	Rainfall mm	Mean* height (m)	Mean* gbh (cm)
<u>Eucalyptus tereticornis</u> 1977	Kondazhi (Trichur Forest Division)	100	3000	9.0	27.3
<u>Eucalyptus grandis</u> 1980	Muthanga (Kozhikode Forest Division)	800	1700	12.0	30.4

* as of April 1984 for E. tereticornis and April 1983 for E. grandis

n= 5-7

Results and Discussion

Soil analyses

The results of soil analyses of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, P and K are presented in Tables 2 and 3 for Kondazhi and Muthanga sites respectively. The values have been adjusted for the gravel content, in the soil as reported earlier (Alexander and Thomas, 1985). These authors have found that where gravel is a major component in soils such adjustments help to arrive at more meaningful interpretations.

$\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$. The levels of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in soils from both plantations are low, while the soil at Muthanga site contains more nitrogen. $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ being labile, it is more or less evenly distributed in the surface and sub-surface layers.

P-The extractable P content of both soils is extremely low which is typical of tropical soils with high levels of iron and aluminium in the system. There is a reduction of P content with depth. In the case of P too, the Muthanga soil is slightly richer.

K-Soils from both plantations have fairly rich amount of K. The Muthanga site has two-fold concentration of soil K when compared to Kondazhi.

Foliar analyses

The rationale behind foliar analysis is that the concentration or content of nutrients reflects the nutritional status of the plant, and thus its growth potential (Mead, 1984). The results of foliar analytical investigations conducted in E. tereticornis and E. grandis

Table 2 Distribution of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, P and K in soil in Kondazhi*

Plot	Depth (cm)	N (.....mg kg ⁻¹)	P	K
1	00-20**	3.5	1.5	43
	20-40	3.5	0.8	23
	40-60	3.7	0.5	25
2	00-20	1.3	1.4	50
	20-40	0.9	0.7	31
	40-60	1.0	0.3	27
3	00-20	0.8	1.5	38
	20-40	0.6	5.0	17
	40-60	0.5	0.8	7
4	00-20	1.4	2.0	112
	20-40	1.6	1.3	72
	40-60	1.3	1.2	56
Mean**	00-20	1.7	1.6	61
	20-40	1.4	2.0	37
	40-60	1.4	0.7	29
CV	00-20	73	17	57
	20-40	60	102	65
	40-60	67	56	70

*

NPK values are adjusted for the gravel content in the soil

**

Average of three soil pits- in each plot

Mean and CV based on twelve soil pits

Table 3. Distribution of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, P and K in soil in Muthanga*

Plot	Depth (cm)	N (.....mg KG^{-1})	P	K
1	00-20**	1.5	1.3	117
	20-40	1.6	0.6	115
	40-60	1.0	0.1	68
2	00-20	2.0	1.5	
	20-40	2.0	0.5	54
	40-60	3.0	0.2	38
3	00-20	3.1	5.8	178
	20-40	2.0	0.8	165
	40-60	3.5	0.5	130
4	00-20	2.3	1.2	114
	20-40	2.3	0.2	49
	40-60	1.6	tr	38
Mean***	00-20	2.2	2.4	129
	20-40	2.0	0.5	96
	40-60	2.0	0.2	68
CV	00-20	32	93	25
	20-40	12	50	57
	40-60	45	110	64

*

NPK values are adjusted for the gravel content in the soil

**

Average of three soil pits in each plot

Mean and CV based on twelve soil pits

are given in Tables 4 and 5. As many factors influence the levels of elements in the leaf, the results are presented and discussed under the following subheadings:

Foliage age and nutrient levels

Leaves from current year twigs were sampled and segregated into 3 types: newly expanding, class I expanded and class II expanded leaves for analysis. In *E. grandis* foliage only the first two types could be identified. Newly expanding leaves have slightly higher levels of NP and K than mature ones (Tables 4 and 5). The only exception to this is with N content, in *E. tereticornis* foliage (Table 4). Class II expanded leaves being older than the other two show lowest concentrations of nutrient elements. Similar results have been obtained elsewhere (Bell and Ward, 1984). The N, P and K concentrations in young leaves show high variability among plots (cv = 25-60%) while in expanded leaves the variability is less (cv = 5-20%). The concentration of elements being more stable in class I and class II expanded leaves than newly expanding ones, sampling may be restricted to them in foliar diagnosis activities.

Season of sampling

Foliar level of essential elements can vary during the year. The concentration of NP and K in the foliage of *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* sampled in March 1953, September 1983 and April 1984 are given in Tables 4 and 5. The data indicate that sampling time does not play a crucial role in humid tropical areas in comparison with temperate areas, where there is a marked physiologically inactive period during winter (Leaf, 1973; Driessche, 1974) although wet and dry seasons can

Table 4 NPK levels in *E. tereticornis* foliage %

		N	P	K
Newly expanding leaves	April	0.94	0.05	0.69
	September	0.92	0.11	0.82
	March	9.72	0.07	0.82
	Average **	0.86	0.08	0.73
Class I expanded leaves	April	0.88	0.06	0.76
	September	0.94	0.08	0.68
	March	1.18	0.06	0.88
	Average	1.00	0.06	0.77
Class II expanded leaves	April	0.74	0.05	0.74
	September	0.90	0.06	0.56
	March	-	-	-
	Average	0.77	0.06	0.65

* n = 4 (plots) ** n = 3 (seasons)

Table 5. NPK levels in *E. grandis* foliage % *

		N	P	K
Newly expanding leaves	April	1.36	0.10	0.88
	September	1.40	0.18	0.70
	March	0.48	0.15	0.82
	Average*	1.08	0.14	0.80
Class I expanded leaves	April	1.10	0.08	0.76
	September	0.72	0.08	0.50
	March	0.73	0.13	0.72
	Average	0.85	0.10	0.66

n=4 (plots) n=3 (seasons)

Table 6 Diagnostic criteria for NPK in E. grandis foliage (Schonau, 1984).

Element	Concentration %		
	Optimum	Minimum	Maximum
N	2	0.85	3.12
P	0.16-0.15	0.11	0.35
K	0.70	0.36	1.02
N/ P	13.00	3.29	26.00
N/K	3.00	0.99	4.62
P/K	0.23	0.11	0.47

Table 7. Nutrient ratios in eucalypt foliage in study sites*

Nutrient/Species	<u>E. tereticornis</u>	<u>E. grandis</u>
N/P	16.57	8.50
N/K	1.30	1.23
P/K	0.08	0.15

*based on average values of class I expanded Leaves.

impart differences in nutrient concentrations in the tropics (Lamb, 1976).

Nutrient levels and diagnostic criteria

Foliar analysis has the prime objective of contributing information on the nutrient element limitation. Optimum levels of nutrient levels have not been reported for *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis* for local conditions meanwhile a general guidance is available from the work of Schonau (1984) (Table 6). Data given in tables 4 and 5 clearly indicate that the levels of N and P in both the species are below optimum with moderately optimal potassium levels. It may be stressed here that the levels of N and P are below even the minimum range prescribed by Schonau (1984) while that of K need not pose a problem given current growth rates are maintained. Nutrient ratios (Table 7) can also aid in interpretation of foliar analytical data. Even the ratios arrived at for both the species reveal imbalances in N and P supply to the plants.

Relationship between foliar and soil levels of NPK and eucalypt growth

Coefficients of correlation (tables 8 and 9) show that in certain cases high values exist for eg. between soil K and foliar K in Kondazhi ($r = 0.98$). Otherwise foliar concentration shows no direct relationships with soil levels. At the same time low levels of N ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) and extractable P in the soils of both plantations; are reflected in the below minimum concentrations of N and P in the leaves

Table 8. Correlation coefficients between soil and foliage level of NPK and tree growth in E. tereticornis

Variable**	SP	SK	FN	FP	FK	h	d ² h
SN	-0.06	-.14	.33	.98	-.18	.68	.94
SP		.95	.80	-.24	.98	.68	.22
SK			.86	-.34	.98	.60	.08
FN				.12	-.81	.84	.46
FP					-.38	.52	.88
FK						.58	.06
h							.a4

* data for April 1984. * -values for 2df = .95 and .39 at 95% and 99% levels

** SN = Soil Nitrogen, FN = Foliar Nitrogen, SP = Soil Phosphorus, FP = Foliar Phosphorus, d = diameter, SK = Soil Potassium, FK = Foliar Potassium, h = height,

Table 9. Correlation coefficients between soil and foliage levels of NPK and tree growth in E. Grandis

Variable*	SP	SK	FN	FP	FK	h	d ² h
SN	.86	.84	0.006	-.45	-.62	.49	.35
SP		.99	-.46	-.83	-.92	.01	-.12
SK			-.42	-.84	-.94	.02	-.07
FN				.79	.67	.86	.94
FP					.77	.50	.56
FK							.34
h							.98

* data for April 1983, r - values for 2df = .95 and .99 at 95 and 99° levels.

** as in table 8.

of *E. tereticornis* and *E. grandis*. While moderately high levels of soil K have provided for optimum range of foliar K in both species.

High values of r exist between soil N and foliar Pand tree growth in *E. tereticornis* (Soil N: $d_2h = 0.94$; Soil P: $d_2h = 0.88$). In *E. grandis* the correlation between foliar Nitrogen and growth is high (Foliar N: $d_2h = 0.94$). This suggests that certain linkages are present and high positive correlations between growth and nutrient levels are associated with deficiencies.

Conclusion

Less variability in elemental concentrations in fully expanded leaves render them more reliable material for foliar analysis. Comparison between the foliar and soil levels of N, P and K suggests that both soil and plant test data supplement and complement one another. The present levels of foliar N and P are below optimum while that of K is within the range prescribed. The same is due to low content of N and P in the soil with moderately high levels of K. The markedly low concentrations of N and P in both soil and plant material suggest that growth can be increased by soil nutrient management.

Literature Cited

- Alexander TG and Thomas, P Thomas 1985. Physical properties of soils in relation to eucalypt growth. KFRI Research Report No. 27
11p.
- ASA 1965. Methods of soil analysis. part 2. Black CA et al (ed)
American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 771-1572
- Bell DT and Ward SC 1984. Foliar and twig macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) in selected species of Eucalyptus used in rehabilitation: Source of variation. Plant and Soil 81: 363-377
- Bevege DI and Richard BN 1972. Future directions in plantations nutrition research: In Bowen CD and Nambiar EKS (Eds) Nutrition of plantation forests. Academic press, London 489-506
- Chauhan VS 1977. Mineral nutrition. Effect on the growth of Eucalyptus hybrid (Mysore origin) seedlings subjected to various sources and supplies of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on bhata soil. In proceedings of the 11th Silvicultural Conference. Dchra Dun 1967. 766-775.
- Cromer RN and Williams ER 1982. Biomass and nutrient accumulation in a planted Eucalyptus globulus (Labi11) fertilizer trial. Australian Journal of Botany 30: 341-355
- Driessche Van Den 1974. Prediction of mineral nutrient status of trees by foliar analysis. The Botanical Review 40: 347-394

- Haaq HP 1983. Nutricao mineral de eucalyptus, Pinus auracanae Gmelina no Brasil, Funda Cao Cargill, Campinas, Sao Paulo P 202, 210.
- Haridasan M 1985. Accumulation of nutrients by eucalyptus seedlings from acidic and calacarcous soils of the cerrado region of central Brazil. *Plant and Soil* 86: 35-115
- Hussain AMM and Theagarajan KS 1966. Preliminary studies in the mineral nutrition of eucalyptus 'hybrid' seedlings. *Indian forester* 92: 285-292
- Insley H Boswel I RC and Gardiner JBH 1981. Foliar macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) in lime (*Tilia* spp.) I-sampling techniques. *Plant and Soil* 61: 377-389
- Kaul ON, Srivastava PBL and Tandon VN 1968. Nutrition studies on *Eucalyptus* 1. Diagnosis of mineral deficiencies in *Eucalyptus* hybrid seedlings. *Indian Forester* 92: 264-268
- Lamb D 1976. Variations in the foliar concentrations of macro and micro elements in fast growing tropical eucalypt. *Plant and Soil* 43: 477-492
- Lamb D 1977. Relationship between growth and foliar nutrient concentrations in *Eucalyptus deglupta*. *Plant and Soil* 47: 495-508
- Leaf AL 1973. Plant analysis as an aid to fertilizing forests. In LM Walsh and JD Beaton (Eds.). *Soil testing and plant analysis*. Soil Science Society of America Inc. Wisconsin 427-455

- Mead DJ 1984. Diagnosis of nutrient deficiencies in plantations. In GD. Bowen and EKS Nambiar (Eds.) Nutrition of Plantation Forests, Academic Press, London, 259-292
- Miller HG, Miller JD and Cooper JM 1981. Optimum foliar nitrogen concentration in pine and its change with stand age. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 11: 563-572
- Nanda KK 1963. Studies on the mineral nutrition of Indian forest species. I. Effect, of deficiency of macro-nutrients the growth of *Salmalia malabarica* Schott and Endl. under varying light conditions. Indian forester 89: 386-409
- Radwan MA and De Bell DS. 1980. Site Index, growth and foliar chemical composition relationships in western Hemlock. Forest Science 26: 293-290
- Schonau APG 1981. The effects of fertilizing on foliar nutrient concentrations in *Eucalyptus grandis*. Fertilizer Research 1: 73-87
- Schonau APG 1984. Silvicultural considerations for high productivity in *Eucalyptus grandis*. Forest Ecology and Management 9: 295-314
- Seth SK and Bhatnagar HP 1962. Studies on the NPK mineral nutrition of *Sal* (*Shorea robusta*) seedlings. Indian Forest Records (N.S.) Silviculture 2.
- Sharma RM 1983. Mineral content of leaves of some common tropical forest trees and their associated soils in Ibadan, Nigeria. Canadian Journal. of Forestry 13: 556-562
- Walsh IM and Beaton JD (Eds) 1973. Soil testing and plant analysis. Soil Science Society of America Inc. Wisconsin 491p.